


July 6, 2022

2020 ANNUAL REPORT

**TRACKING SILICOSIS & OTHER WORK-
RELATED LUNG DISEASES IN MICHIGAN**



2020 ANNUAL REPORT TRACKING SILICOSIS & OTHER WORK-RELATED LUNG DISEASES IN MICHIGAN

Silicosis & Other Work-Related Lung Disease Surveillance Program

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUMMARY	1-2
BACKGROUND	2
PROCEDURES	2-3
RESULTS	3-22
DISCUSSION	22-25
REFERENCES	25-26

Michigan State University Department of Medicine

909 Wilson Road, Room 117
West Fee, East Lansing, MI
48824
517.353.1846

Kenneth D. Rosenman, MD
Mary Jo Reilly, MS

Michigan Department of Labor & Economic Opportunity (LEO)

PO Box 30643
Lansing, MI 48909
517.284.7777

Barton G. Pickelman
Director, MIOSHA

There are many resources
available to help employers,
employees, health care
professionals and others
understand more about
work-related lung disease.
Links to these resources can
be found at:
www.oem.msu.edu.

Acronyms

AB Asbestosis

COPD Chronic Obstructive
Pulmonary Disease

ED Emergency Department

LARA MI Department of
Licensing & Regulatory
Affairs

LEO MI Department of
Labor & Economic
Opportunity

MIOSHA Michigan
Occupational Safety &
Health Administration

NAICS North American
Industrial Classification
System

NIOSH National Institute
for Occupational Safety &
Health

OLDs Other Work-Related
Lung Diseases

PEL Permissible Exposure
Limit



This report was
funded by the
National Institute
for Occupational
Safety & Health,
under
cooperative
agreement
U60-OH008466.

*We sincerely appreciate the
commitment of those health
care providers who
understand the public
health significance of
diagnosing a patient with an
occupational illness, as well
as the Michigan employees
who took the time to share
their experiences about their
work and subsequent
development of work-
related lung disease.*

Summary

This is the 29th annual report on silicosis in Michigan, and the 10th year of the expanded report to include surveillance data on the magnitude and nature of all work-related lung diseases in Michigan. In 2011, we expanded surveillance of silicosis in Michigan to include other lung disease, including asbestosis, work-related hypersensitivity pneumonitis, hard metal lung disease, minor pneumoconiosis, and emerging work-related lung diseases. Work-related asthma has always been covered under a separate annual report.



Individuals with silicosis in Michigan have an increase of over 300% in the likelihood of dying from nonmalignant respiratory disease, both restrictive and obstructive, and an 80% increase in the likelihood of dying from lung cancer [1].

- ◆ From 1985-2020, 1,210 silicosis cases have been identified through the Michigan tracking system. Hospitalizations for silicosis decreased in 2019 and 2020.
- ◆ On average since 2000, 20 new cases of silicosis were reported to LEO each year.
- ◆ We estimate 67-139 adults in Michigan with silicosis were not reported in 2020.
- ◆ Asbestos-related lung changes are the most common work-related lung disease in Michigan; in 2020 there were 338 cases from the hospital discharge data, 35 cases reported by B-Readers, 147 cases from the courts and 15 from other sources.
- ◆ 161 cases of Other Work Related Lung Disease (OLDs) were identified in 2020; chemical irritation, asbestosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) were among the conditions reported.
- ◆ Adherence to the new federal silica standard, which, beginning in 2018, requires medical monitoring for construction workers and in 2020 for general industry workers, should result in more timely identification of silicosis and silica overexposures.

Background

In 1988, the State of Michigan instituted a tracking program for silicosis with financial assistance from NIOSH. In 2011, surveillance was expanded to include OLDs. This is a joint project of MIOSHA and Michigan State University, Department of Medicine, Division of Occupational and Environmental Medicine.

The reporting of an index patient is a sentinel health event that may lead to the identification of employees from the same facilities who are also at risk of developing silicosis or OLDs. The goal is to prevent work-related lung disease through the identification and workplace follow-up of these index patients.

Work-Related Lung Disease Tracking Procedures

There are four main activities related to occupational lung disease surveillance in Michigan: identifying patients; interviewing patients and collecting relevant medical records; conducting workplace inspections; and sharing the overall results and lessons learned with industry, employees and other stakeholders.

IDENTIFY PATIENTS

Patients are identified through mandatory reporting of any known *or suspected* occupational illnesses, including silicosis and other work-related lung diseases.

SOURCES TO IDENTIFY PATIENTS IN MICHIGAN

- ◆ **Health Care Providers** Private practice, working for industry, NIOSH-certified “B” readers
- ◆ **Hospitals** International Classification of Disease 10th Revision (ICD-10) Silicosis (J62, J65), Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis (J67), Other Pneumoconioses (J63, J64), Other Respiratory Conditions (J66, J68, Z57.2, Z57.3, Z57.5)
- ◆ **Workers’ Compensation Agency**
- ◆ **Poison Control Center**
- ◆ **Reports from Co-Workers or MIOSHA Field Staff** confirmed by a health care provider
- ◆ **Death Certificates**
- ◆ **Michigan 3rd Judicial Court** for asbestos-related disease
- ◆ **Mine Safety and Health Administration**
- ◆ **Michigan Cancer Registry** for mesothelioma
- ◆ **Clinical Laboratories** for specific IgE allergy testing
- ◆ **Emergency Medical Services (Ambulance)**

Part 56 of the Michigan Public Health Code requires reporting of all known or suspected occupational illnesses or work-aggravated health conditions to the Michigan Department of Labor & Economic Opportunity *within 10 days of discovery*.

INTERVIEW PATIENTS

Once patients are identified, a letter is sent asking them to participate in a telephone interview. Afterwards, medical records are requested, including chest x-rays and pulmonary function test results.

CLASSIFICATION OF WORK-RELATED LUNG DISEASE

A physician who is board-certified in internal and occupational/environmental medicine and also is a NIOSH certified B-reader reviews medical evidence which may include interview, medical records, breathing tests and chest x-rays. In addition, for silicosis and asbestosis the following criteria are applied:

SILICOSIS

- 1) History of silica exposure.
and
- 2a) Chest x-ray interpretation with rounded opacities of 1/0 or greater profusion in the upper lobes.
or
- 2b) A biopsy report of lung tissue showing the characteristic silicotic nodule.

ASBESTOSIS

- 1) History of asbestos exposure.
and
- 2) Chest x-ray interpretation showing linear changes in the lower lobes and/or pleural thickening.

WORKPLACE INSPECTION

After the patient interview is completed, MIOSHA determines whether a workplace enforcement inspection will be conducted. During an inspection, co-workers are interviewed to determine if other individuals are experiencing similar breathing problems from exposure to the agent. Any workers reporting breathing problems are sent a letter advising them to see their doctor. Chest x-rays may be reviewed if the company performs periodic chest x-ray surveillance. Air monitoring for any suspected agent is conducted. The company's health and safety program and its Injury and Illness Log are reviewed. After the investigation is complete, a report of air sampling results and any recommendations is sent to the company and made available to workers. A copy of the report is also sent to the reporting physician.

OTHER FOLLOW UP ACTIVITIES

Outreach, educational activities, and recommendations may be developed. An annual report summarizing the activity is completed. Brochures or other materials may be developed to address specific emergent issues identified.

Results

The following sections report results in this order: **silicosis** surveillance in Michigan from 1985-2020, **asbestos-related lung disease and mesothelioma**, and **all other OLDs surveillance** for calendar year 2020.

REPORTS OF SILICOSIS

Table 1 shows that 1,210 people were confirmed with silicosis from 1985 - 2020. Figure 1 shows the number of confirmed silicosis cases by year, for 1987 - 2020. Figure 2 shows the overlap of reporting sources. In 2020, there were three hospitalizations where silicosis was the primary or one of six secondary diagnoses and 19 hospitalizations where silicosis was the primary or one of 29 secondary diagnoses (Figure 7). This compares to 12 and 26, respectively in 2018, when there was an increase in hospitalizations for silicosis.

TABLE 1
Year and Reporting Source for 1,210
Confirmed Silicosis Cases: 1985-2020

Initial Reporting Source*

<u>YEAR</u>	<u>PR</u>	<u>HDC</u>	<u>DC</u>	<u>WC</u>	<u>ICFU</u>
85-87	0	67	35	42	0
1988	0	56	6	7	0
1989	7	40	9	4	3
1990	5	44	0	6	1
1991	5	37	1	6	0
1992	16	54	6	2	0
1993	6	31	1	4	0
1994	7	36	1	28	0
1995	26	35	3	2	0
1996	28	35	0	0	0
1997	13	48	1	0	0
1998	10	28	1	0	0
1999	5	25	1	1	0
2000	4	32	0	0	0
2001	8	11	1	0	0
2002	1	32	1	0	0
2003	8	26	0	0	0
2004	2	24	0	0	0
2005	4	26	0	0	0
2006	1	17	1	0	0
2007	2	19	0	1	0
2008	4	18	0	1	0
2009	1	12	1	0	0
2010	2	19	0	0	0
2011	0	11	0	0	0
2012	0	11	0	0	0
2013	0	17	1	0	0
2014	1	17	0	0	0
2015	2	11	0	0	0
2016	0	7	0	0	0
2017	1	4	0	0	0
2018	0	7	0	0	0
2019**	0	6	0	0	0
2020**	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	169	863	70	104	4

*PR- Physician Referral; HDC-Hospital Discharge; DC-Death Certificate;

WC-Workers' Compensation; ICFU-Index Case Follow-Up.

**Reports are still being processed for calendar years 2019 and 2020.




FIGURE 1
Confirmed Silicosis Cases by Year Reported





FIGURE 2
Overlap of Reporting Sources for 1,210
Confirmed Silicosis Patients: 1985-2020



Based on capture-recapture analysis we estimate that although on average we receive 20 reports of silicosis a year, there are an additional 67-139 cases that are diagnosed each year but are not reported [2].

*N's represent the total number reported at any time by that source.
Reporting Source Codes: HDC=Hospital Discharge Data; PR=Physician Referral; DC=Death Certificate; WC=Workers' Compensation; ICFU=Index Case Follow Up.
There was also an overlap of HDC-DC-WC for 13 individuals; an overlap of HDC-PR-WC-DC for one individual; an overlap of WC-DC for two individuals; and an overlap of HDC-DC-ICFU for one individual.

Demographics – Silicosis

GENDER

- ♦ Women 30 (2%)
- ♦ Men 1,180 (98%)

YEAR OF BIRTH

- ♦ Range 1888 - 1971
- ♦ Average 1925

RACE

- ♦ White 712 (59%)
- ♦ African American 453 (37%)
- ♦ Alaskan/American Ind. 1 (<1%)
- ♦ Asian 2 (<1%)
- ♦ Other 30 (2%)
- ♦ Unknown 12 (1%)

AVERAGE ANNUAL
INCIDENCE RATE

- ♦ African American 6.5 per 100,000
- ♦ White 1.3 per 100,000

The average annual incidence rate for African Americans is 5.0X greater than that of whites.

Numerator is the average number of silicosis cases by race for 1987-2018. Denominator Source: 2000 Census population data by race, age 40 and older.

Medical Results – Silicosis


Overall, 859 (71.0%) of the people with silicosis had simple silicosis and 283 (23.4%) had progressive massive fibrosis. Thirty-six (3.0%) silicotics had normal x-rays with lung biopsy evidence. Thirty-two (2.6%) individuals had x-ray reports which were consistent with silicosis, but the actual radiograph could not be obtained to classify.

For the 1,192 silicosis cases with known history, 330 (27.7%) of the people with silicosis never smoked cigarettes, 702 (58.9%) had quit, and 160 (13.4%) were still smoking. No information was available on 18 individuals. Figure 3 shows the distribution of x-ray results according to the International Labor Organization (ILO) classification and smoking status. Non-smokers tended to have more severe silicosis. This latter finding may be an artifact of our reporting system, which is mainly based on reports of hospitalized individuals. Non-smoking individuals with simple silicosis are less likely to be symptomatic and hospitalized and therefore less likely to have been reported to the surveillance system.

Tables 2 and 3 show the distribution of percent predicted forced vital capacity (FVC) and the ratio of forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) to FVC by x-ray and cigarette smoking status. Approximately 60% of people with silicosis had reduced breathing function, either restrictive or obstructive. Obstructive changes (Table 3) were found in two-thirds of the individuals who had ever smoked cigarettes and among half of the individuals who had never smoked cigarettes. A more comprehensive analysis of spirometry results was published in 2010 [3].

Of the 832 cases with information on tuberculosis (TB) testing, 678 (81.5%) indicated that they had ever had a skin test for TB; 104 (15%) had a positive result. The percentage with a positive TB skin test did not change over time. One hundred sixty-nine (17%) of 1,014 reported that they had ever had active TB, regardless of whether a skin test had ever been done. In comparison, the annual percentage of subjects with active TB in the United States from 1988 to 2016 was 0.003–0.010% and in Michigan from 2013 to 2017 was 0.001% [4].

FIGURE 3
Severity of X-Ray Results* by Smoking Status for
Confirmed Silicosis Cases: 1985–2020**



*BE = Biopsy Evidence; UNK = Unknown; 1-3 = International Labor Organization categorization system for grading pneumoconiosis; Category 1 = 1/0, 1/1, 1/2; Category 2 = 2/1, 2/2, 2/3; Category 3 = 3/2, 3/3, 3/+; PMF = Progressive Massive Fibrosis.

**Total number of individuals: 1,192. Smoking status was unknown for 18 individuals.

TABLE 2 – Percent Predicted Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) by X-Ray Results and Cigarette Smoking Status for Confirmed Silicosis Cases: 1985-2020

	Percent Predicted FVC***					
	<60%		60-79%		>=80%	
X-Ray Results*	Ever Smoked	Never Smoked	Ever Smoked	Never Smoked	Ever Smoked	Never Smoked
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Biopsy Evidence	20	--	40	40	40	60
Unk Severity	38	50	38	25	25	25
Category 1	24	30	35	28	42	42
Category 2	30	37	36	32	34	31
Category 3	33	63	40	21	27	17
PMF	38	40	33	32	29	28
Total**	29	38	35	30	35	32

*Biopsy Evidence if no x-ray available; International Labor Organization categorization system for grading pneumoconioses: Cat 1= 1/0, 1/1, 1/2; Cat 2= 2/1, 2/2, 2/3; Cat 3= 3/2, 3/3, 3+; PMF=Progressive Massive Fibrosis.

**Total number of individuals: 762. Information was missing for 448 individuals.

***Percentages represent the proportion of individuals in each x-ray result category, within smoking status category.

TABLE 3 – Ratio of Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 Second (FEV₁) to Forced Vital Capacity (FVC) by X-Ray Results and Cigarette Smoking Status for Confirmed Silicosis Cases: 1985-2020

	<=40%		41-59%		60-74%		>=75%	
X-Ray Results*	Ever Smoked	Never Smoked	Ever Smoked	Never Smoked	Ever Smoked	Never Smoked	Ever Smoked	Never Smoked
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Biopsy Evidence	5	25	15	--	40	50	40	25
Unk Severity	8	--	8	--	25	75	58	25
Category 1	9	2	22	8	37	30	33	60
Category 2	4	5	22	14	42	28	32	53
Category 3	7	4	15	--	11	30	67	65
PMF	18	7	33	27	29	31	21	35
Total**	9	5	23	14	34	31	34	50

*Biopsy Evidence if no x-ray available; International Labor Organization categorization system for grading pneumoconioses: Cat 1= 1/0, 1/1, 1/2; Cat 2= 2/1, 2/2, 2/3; Cat 3= 3/2, 3/3, 3+; PMF= Progressive Massive Fibrosis.

**Total number of individuals: 735. Information was missing for 475 individuals.

***Percentages represent the proportion of individuals in each x-ray result category, within smoking status category.

Location



Table 4 shows the annual average incidence rates of silicosis among the working population, by race and county where there was at least one case in that county. Yellow-highlighted rates are for counties where both white and African American cases were reported. The highest rates were among African American males in Shiawassee (401 cases per 100,000), Muskegon (120 cases per 100,000), Saginaw (37 cases per 100,000), and Monroe (19 cases per 100,000). The incidence of African American silicosis cases was 5 times greater than white males. More information about health disparities and occupational lung disease, particularly silicosis, can be found in our Fall 2014 PS News newsletter (V25N4), at: www.oem.msu.edu. Figure 4 shows the counties of the companies at which the patients' silica exposure occurred; Muskegon, Wayne and Saginaw were the main counties.

TABLE 4
Average Annual Incidence Rate of Silicosis
Among Michigan Workers by Race and County of Exposure: 1987-2018

White* Males				African American** Males				White* Males				African American** Males			
County	County Pop'n	#	Rate	County Pop'n	#	Rate		County	County Pop'n	#	Rate	County Pop'n	#	Rate	
Allegan	20850	2	0.3	275	—	—		Lapeer	18176	2	0.3	226	—	—	
Alpena	7388	25	10.6	8	—	—		Lenawee	20192	4	0.6	573	—	—	
Arenac	4168	1	0.7	62	—	—		Livingston	32610	3	0.3	111	—	—	
Baraga	1815	1	1.7	78	—	—		Mackinac	2761	1	1.1	6	—	—	
Barry	12360	4	1.0	34	—	—		Macomb	156926	27	0.5	3233	7	6.8	
Bay	23674	8	1.1	226	—	—		Manistee	5999	3	1.6	67	—	—	
Benzie	3898	1	0.8	9	—	—		Marquette	14199	18	4.0	224	—	—	
Berrien	30479	8	0.8	3594	4	3.5		Mason	6683	1	0.5	41	—	—	
Branch	9525	4	1.3	288	—	—		Menominee	6054	12	6.2	2	—	—	
Calhoun	25345	26	3.2	2650	14	16.5		Midland	16605	3	0.6	128	—	—	
Charlevoix	5942	3	1.6	5	—	—		Monroe	29452	8	0.8	497	3	18.9	
Chippewa	7286	2	0.9	616	—	—		Montcalm	12433	3	0.8	335	—	—	
Delta	9045	3	1.0	5	—	—		Montmorency	2957	1	1.1	3	—	—	
Dickinson	6419	1	0.5	5	—	—		Muskegon	30132	126	13.1	3564	137	120.1	
Eaton	20377	3	0.5	781	—	—		Oakland	216359	17	0.2	20085	7	1.1	
Genesee	69596	12	0.5	13423	6	1.4		Ontonagon	2295	2	2.7	1	—	—	
Gladwin	6615	1	0.5	8	—	—		Ottawa	41916	4	0.3	270	1	11.6	
Gogebic	4353	3	2.2	22	—	—		Roscommon	7325	1	0.4	9	—	—	
Gd Traverse	16451	1	0.2	57	—	—		Saginaw	36097	64	5.5	5936	70	36.9	
Gratiot	8356	2	0.7	371	—	—		St. Clair	33209	6	0.6	623	1	5.0	
Hillsdale	9857	9	2.9	36	—	—		St. Joseph	12266	4	1.0	251	1	12.5	
Ingham	41166	11	0.8	3987	—	—		Sanilac	9753	3	1.0	23	—	—	
Iosco	7280	1	0.4	30	—	—		Schoolcraft	2121	1	1.5	18	—	—	
Iron	3531	3	2.7	28	—	—		Shiawassee	14737	3	0.6	26	2	240.4	
Isabella	9294	1	0.3	77	—	—		Tuscola	12334	1	0.3	108	—	—	
Jackson	31380	3	0.3	2685	2	2.3		Van Buren	15129	2	0.4	808	—	—	
Kalamazoo	39985	4	0.3	3004	—	—		Washtenaw	47535	8	0.5	5758	—	—	
Kent	93136	16	0.5	6768	3	1.4		Wayne	236472	141	1.9	134974	169	3.9	
Keweenaw	639	1	4.9	1	—	—		Wexford	6478	4	1.9	6	—	—	
Lake	2817	2	2.2	251	—	—									

*Rate per 100,000 among white men age 40+. Numerator: average number of white males with silicosis for the years 1987 – 2018; denominator: 2000 Census population data for white men age 40 and older, by county. In 2000, there were 1,730,017 white males 40 years and older living in Michigan.


**Rate per 100,000 among African American (AA) men age 40+. Numerator: average number of AA males with silicosis for the years 1987 – 2018; denominator: 2000 Census population data for AA men age 40 and older, by county. In 2000, there were 219,076 AA males 40 years and older living in Michigan.

Type of Industry – Silicosis

Table 5 shows the Michigan industries by NAICS codes, where exposure to silica occurred from 1985 to 2020. The predominant industries were in manufacturing (84%), construction (9%) and mining (4%). Most of the manufacturing jobs were in iron foundries. Exposure to silica is still occurring in foundries (Figures 5 and 6). In 2007, MIOSHA inspected all silica-using foundries in the state. Forty-seven foundries were inspected. Personal air monitoring for silica was conducted in 43 of the 47 facilities; 28 companies had silica levels below the MIOSHA PEL and 15 were above the PEL.

Although silicosis typically occurs after a long duration of exposure to silica, some patients develop silicosis after a relatively short period of time because of the severity of that exposure. The average year of hire is 1951, ranging from 1910 to 2007. Four individuals began working in the 2000s, seven began working in the 1990s, 25 in the 1980s, 89 in the 1970s and 186 in the 1960s. The average number of years worked at a silica-exposed job was 27.3 years.

FIGURE 4
Distribution of Confirmed Silicosis Cases by
County of Exposure: 1985-2020




*Seventy-seven individuals were exposed to silica out-of-state, and 33 individuals had an unknown county of exposure.

TABLE 5
Primary Industrial Exposure for
Confirmed Silicosis Patients: 1985-2020

INDUSTRY (2002 NAICS)		#	%
11	Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing, & Hunting	2	0.2
21	Mining	52	4.3
22	Utilities	1	0.1
23	Construction	108	8.9
31-33	Manufacturing	1,017	84.0
42	Wholesale Trade	2	0.2
44-45	Retail Trade	3	0.2
48-49	Transportation & Warehousing	7	0.6
56	Administrative & Support & Waste Management	1	0.1
62, 81	Health Care & Social Assistance	7	0.6
92	Public Administration	4	0.3
00	Unknown	6	0.5
Total		1,210	

FIGURE 5
Distribution of Decade when Silica Exposure Began
for Confirmed Silicosis Cases: 1985-2020*



*Decade of first exposure was unknown for 80 individuals with silicosis.

Industrial Hygiene Results – Silicosis

The 1,210 individuals with silicosis were exposed to silica in 507 facilities (Table 6). There was one silica-related inspection conducted in 2020. Since 1988, inspections were performed by MIOSHA at 93 (18.3%) of the 507 facilities associated with silicosis cases. One hundred fifty-nine (31.4%) facilities were no longer in operation, 70 (13.8%) were located out of state, 29 (5.7%) facilities no longer used silica, 78 (15.4%) workplaces were in the construction industry, eight (1.6%) were covered by the Mine Safety and Health Administration jurisdiction, and for 69 (13.6%), the specific location where the silica exposure occurred was unknown. One (0.2%) facility is scheduled for inspection.

Air sampling for silica was conducted in 66 of the 93 facilities inspected (Table 7). MIOSHA adopted a new enforceable permissible exposure limit (PEL) of 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, on June 23, 2018. This new enforceable limit is the same as the NIOSH recommended exposure level (REL). The previous MIOSHA PEL was 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Forty of 66 (60.6%) facilities were above the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) recommended exposure limit for silica.

Twenty-three of the 66 (34.8%) were above old enforceable MIOSHA permissible exposure limit (PEL) of 100 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for silica. The three (4.5%) most recent inspections were above the new MIOSHA PEL of 50 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. Another two (3.0%) companies were above the MIOSHA standard for beryllium and one company was above the MIOSHA standard for silica and silver.

Only eight of the 74 (10.8%) facilities where the medical surveillance program was evaluated provided medical screening for silicosis for its workers that included a periodic chest x-ray interpreted by a certified B-reader. Three (4.1%) companies provided periodic chest x-rays that were not interpreted by a certified B-reader. Twenty-two (29.7%) only performed pre-employment testing, 29 (39.2%) provided no medical surveillance, and 18 (24.3%) performed annual or biennial pulmonary function testing without chest x-rays.

FIGURE 6

**Michigan Ferrous Foundries 1960-2020:
Number of Employees and Number of Firms**




TABLE 6
Status of Facilities Where 1,210 Confirmed
Silicosis Cases were Exposed to Silica: 1985-2020

Inspection Status	Cases	Facilities	
	#	#	%
Inspection Completed	496	93	18.3
Scheduled for Inspection	1	1	0.2
MSHA* Jurisdiction	21	8	1.6
Facility Out-of-Business	436	159	31.4
Facility Out-of-State	75	70	13.8
Facility No Longer Uses Silica	34	29	5.7
Building Trade: No Inspection	78	78	15.4
Unknown	69	69	13.6
Total	1,210	507**	

*MSHA= Mine Safety and Health Administration.

**Four facilities are related to one silicosis case's work history, and two facilities are related to another silicosis case's work history.

TABLE 7
MIOSHA Inspections of 93 Facilities of
Silicosis Cases Exposed to Silica: 1985-2020

	Companies	
	#	%
Air Sampling Performed	66	
Above NIOSH* Rec Std for Silica	40	60.6
Above MIOSHA Enforceable Std for Silica	26	39.4
Medical Surveillance Evaluated	74	
Periodic Chest X-Rays with a B-reader	8	10.8
Periodic Chest X-Rays without a B-reader	3	4.1
Pre-employment Testing Only	22	29.7
No Medical Surveillance	29	39.2
Periodic Pulmonary Function Testing	18	24.3

*NIOSH National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health.

Sandblasting – Silicosis

Three hundred twenty-eight of the 900 individuals for whom sandblasting history was known (36.4%) stated they had done sandblasting as part of their work.

New Silica Standard

The new silica standard was promulgated in Michigan on February 22, 2017

Key Provisions of the new OSHA Silica standard

<https://www.osha.gov/sites/default/files/publications/osha3681.pdf>

- ◆ Reduces the permissible exposure limit (PEL) for respirable crystalline silica to 50 micrograms per cubic meter of air, averaged over an 8-hour shift.
- ◆ Requires employers to: use engineering controls (such as water or ventilation) to limit worker exposure to the PEL; provide respirators when engineering controls cannot adequately limit exposure; limit worker access to high exposure areas; develop a written exposure control plan, offer medical exams to highly exposed workers, and train workers on silica risks and how to limit exposures.
- ◆ Provides medical exams to monitor highly exposed workers and gives them information about their lung health.

Compliance Schedule

Construction -

Fact sheet

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/lara/lara_miosha_part690_553349_7.pdf

- ◆ Employers are required to comply with all obligations of the standard including medical examinations (except methods of sample analysis) by June 23, 2017.

General Industry and Maritime -

Fact sheet

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/lara/lara_miosha_part590_553335_7.pdf

- ◆ Employers are required to comply with all obligations of the standard by June 23, 2018, with the exception of engineering controls and the action level trigger for medical surveillance which are delayed until June 23, 2021 .
- ◆ Employers are required to offer medical examinations to employees exposed above the PEL for 30 or more days a year beginning on

Brief history of four individuals first exposed to silica in the 2000s

Case 1. A male in his 50s worked one year at a company that made sandpaper. He had never performed sandblasting. He formerly smoked cigarettes from his teens to his mid50s. He had advanced simple silicosis per x-ray ILO B-reader interpretation. He died in his 60s.

Case 2. A male in his 50s worked two years sandblasting metal parts. He was a lifelong non-smoker. He had progressive massive fibrosis (PMF) per x-ray ILO B-reader interpretation. He died in his 60s.

Case 3. A male in his 60s worked 13 years as a grinder at a foundry. He also performed sandblasting. He was a lifelong non-smoker. He had progressive massive fibrosis (PMF) per x-ray ILO B-reader interpretation.

Case 4. A male in his 60s worked 14 years as a sandblaster in construction. He was a lifelong non-smoker. He had advanced simple silicosis per x-ray ILO B-reader interpretation.

June 23, 2018.

- ◆ Employers are required to offer medical examinations to employees exposed at or above the action level for 30 or more days a year beginning June 23, 2020.

Hydraulic Fracturing -

- ◆ Employers are required to comply with all obligations of the standard, except for engineering controls and the action level trigger for medical surveillance, by June 23, 2018, except Engineering Controls, which have a compliance date of June 23, 2021.
- ◆ Employers are required to comply with requirements for engineering controls to limit exposures to the new PEL by June 23, 2021. From June 23, 2018 to June 23, 2021, employers can continue to have employees wear respirators if their exposures exceed the PEL.
- ◆ Employers are required to offer medical examinations to employees exposed above the PEL for 30 or more days beginning June 23, 2018.
- ◆ Employers are required to offer medical examinations to employees exposed at or above the action level for 30 or more days a year beginning June 23, 2020.

Content of Medical Examination - Appendix B – Medical Surveillance Guidelines

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/lara/lara_miosha_part690_553349_7.pdf

- ◆ Medical and work history, with emphasis on: past, present, and anticipated exposure to respirable crystalline silica, dust, and other agents affecting the respiratory system; any history of respiratory system dysfunction, including signs and symptoms of respiratory disease (e.g., shortness of breath, cough, wheezing); history of TB; and smoking status and history.
- ◆ Physical examination, with special emphasis on the respiratory system - Initial examination and every three years.
- ◆ TB testing - Initial examination.
- ◆ Spirometry - Initial examination and every three years. Must be administered by a spirometry technician with a current certificate from a NIOSH approved course.
- ◆ PA radiograph of the chest at full inspiration - Initial examination and every three years. Must be interpreted and classified according to the ILO International Classification of Radiographs by a NIOSH-certified B Reader.
- ◆ Additional testing the provider deems appropriate.

Requirements on Reporting Results of Medical Examination

Written medical report to employee within 30 days must include:

- ◆ The results of the medical examination, including any medical condition(s) that would place the employee at increased risk of material impairment to health from exposure to respirable crystalline silica and any medical conditions that require further evaluation or treatment;
- ◆ Any recommended limitations upon the use of a respirator;
- ◆ Any recommended limitations on exposure to respirable crystalline silica;
- ◆ A statement that the employee should be examined by a Board Certified Specialist in Pulmonary Disease or Occupational Medicine, where the B reading is 1/0 or higher for rounded opacities or where the PLHCP has determined such a referral is necessary.

Written medical report to employer within 30 days must include:

- ◆ Date of the examination;
- ◆ A statement that the examination has met the requirements of this section; and
- ◆ Any recommended limitations on the employee's use of a respirator.




Asbestos-Related Lung Disease and Mesothelioma

The following section reports the results of **asbestos-related lung disease and mesothelioma**.

Figure 7 shows the number of individuals hospitalized in Michigan with asbestosis and silicosis from 1990 to 2020. Repeat admissions of the same individual within each calendar year are excluded from these counts of inpatient Hospital Discharge Data (HDC). For most patients, pneumoconiosis was not the primary discharge diagnosis listed on the discharge record. From 1993 to 2006, there has been a steady increase in the number of hospitalizations for asbestosis; from 2007-2016 the large increase in reports is due to the availability of additional secondary discharge diagnosis codes from up to six secondary codes through 2006 to up to 29 secondary diagnosis codes beginning in 2007 (Figure 7). There was a decrease in the number of asbestosis –related hospitalizations in 2020, and a decrease in the number of silicosis-related hospitalizations in 2020. The horizontal red line in Figure 7 for 2007 - 2020 shows that the number of asbestosis cases would have been significantly lower if only up to six secondary discharge diagnoses had continued to be used.

FIGURE 7

Hospital Discharges of Inpatients with Asbestosis & Silicosis in Michigan: 1990 - 2006 & 2007 - 2020




Regulations to control asbestos exposure were not promulgated until the early 1970s and were not widely implemented until the 1980s.

Given the 25-year or greater latency period from the time of first exposure to the development of asbestos-related radiographic changes, the cases being identified now represent exposures from these earlier less-regulated years. The trend we are seeing in Michigan is consistent with national data published by NIOSH through 2014 [5].

Payment source from the Michigan Health and Hospital Association (MHA) is the source of data displayed in Figure 8. Medicare is the primary payment source for hospitalizations for these dust diseases of the lung. WC insurance is very rarely the source of payment, which is consistent with previous reports in both Michigan and New Jersey that the majority of patients with pneumoconiosis never apply for WC insurance [1,6]. It should be noted that if the anticipated payment source was initially Workers' Compensation but then changed to a non-work-related payment source, the record in the MHA file would still indicate the initial source after the patient was discharged, or vice-versa. Again, for this discharge data of payment source, there is increased availability of secondary discharge diagnosis codes since 2007.


In addition to identifying asbestos-related disease from HDC inpatient data, occupational disease reports submitted to LEO constitute another large source of reports. In fact, asbestos-related lung disease is the most common dust disease reported to LEO (Figure 9), through individual physicians certified as B-Readers, death certificates and the Michigan Courts. In 2020, for example, 162 cases of asbestos-related lung disease were identified through physician review of medical records, death certificates or hospital records (Figure 9). Some of these patients reported may overlap with those reported in the HDC data (Figure 7). The total number of asbestos-related cases would therefore be less than the combined total of HDC cases (Figure 7) along with the cases reported directly to LEO (Figure 9 and Table 8) as this may or may not overlap as they each represent a different way to obtain a count of asbestos-related disease from these three different sources.

FIGURE 8
Days Hospitalized by Payment Source at Discharge for Asbestosis & Silicosis in Michigan:
2000-2006 & 2007-2020



*Other includes: Medicaid, HMOs, PPOs, Other Insurance, Self-Pay and No-Charge payment sources. AB=Asbestosis, S=Silicosis.

FIGURE 9
Asbestos-Related Cases Reported from B-Readers,
Death Certificates and the 3rd Judicial Court: 1989-2020



B-READER SURVEY

In 1995, there were 16 B-readers in Michigan. Since 2016, there are only five physicians in Michigan who were certified and active as B-readers. Table 8 shows the number of B-readers, chest x-rays that were reviewed, and x-rays that showed evidence of asbestos-related lung disease, with pleural and parenchymal changes separately and combined. Since 1995, about 20% of the x-rays reviewed showed evidence of occupational disease, ranging from a low of 96 (3%) of 3,841 x-rays reviewed in calendar year 2018, to a high of 3,640 (34%) of 10,575 x-rays reviewed in calendar year 1999. In 2020, 35 (3%) of the x-rays showed evidence of occupational disease. The overall downward change in percentages over time may represent a decreased incidence of radiographic changes and/or a change in the source of reports (more radiographs being interpreted from current rather than retired workers). Table 8 is based on an annual survey that the B-readers in Michigan complete. The numbers of reports listed in the survey are greater than the number of occupational disease reports received from B-readers.

TABLE 8 Results of Annual Survey* of B-Readers in Michigan: 1995-2020

YEAR	# B- Readers	Pleural Changes Only	Parenchymal Changes- W/ & W/out Pleural Changes	Pleural or Parenchymal Changes	Total X-Rays Reviewed	% of Total w/ any Changes
1995	16	--	--	1,406	8,165	17
1996	16	--	--	837	4,825	17
1997	16	446	522	968	6,652	15
1998	16	--	--	3,111	--	--
1999	18	1,045	2,595	3,640	10,575	34
2000	16	532	297	829	10,591	8
2001	17	1,211	1,316	2,527	11,149	23
2002	16	683	905	1,588	7,189	22
2003	11	1,440	1,289	2,729	10,589	26
2004	--	--	--	--	--	--
2005	9	502	343	845	3,060	28
2006	10	391	127	518	5,382	10
2007	9	201	130	331	3,661	9
2008	10	337	320	657	4,757	14
2009	9	247	66	313	4,170	8
2010	6	202	45	247	2,804	9
2011	6	183	46	229	2,862	8
2012	6	139	52	191	4,419	4
2013	6	130	46	176	2,802	6
2014	6	127	56	183	3,765	5
2015	6	67	43	110	3,572	3
2016	5	112	39	151	2,247	7
2017	5	75	28	103	2,600	4
2018	5	65	31	96	3,841	3
2019	6 (5 active)	70	24	94	2,034	5
2020	6 (5 active)	21	14	35	1,184	3


*Actual chest radiograph interpretations were not submitted with the surveys.

Mesothelioma

Mesothelioma is a rare condition, strongly associated with asbestos exposure. The association between exposure to asbestos and the risk of developing mesothelioma was first reported in the medical literature in 1943 [7]. Mesothelioma is almost always caused by exposure to asbestos [8]. Sometimes the exposure has occurred indirectly; handling/washing the clothes of someone who works with asbestos or being a bystander at a location where asbestos was applied or disturbed by another worker. In one study of 759 cases of mesothelioma in Australia where no asbestos exposure was identified by history, 81% had elevated levels of asbestos in their lung tissue [9]. The only other exposure associated with the risk of developing mesothelioma has been the therapeutic, not diagnostic, use of x-rays. The percentage of patients with mesothelioma who have a history of occupational asbestos exposure is lower in studies that are based on review of medical records compared to studies based on a complete work history where 90% of mesothelioma has been attributed to asbestos exposure [10]. Among cohorts of asbestos-exposed workers, up to 10% of deaths have been attributed to mesothelioma. Lung cancer is the most common cancer increased among asbestos exposed workers. Unlike lung cancer, there is no additional risk of mesothelioma among current or ex-cigarette smokers.

The use of asbestos in the United States peaked in the 1950s (Figure 10). Given that mesothelioma typically occurs 30-40 years after first exposure to asbestos, one would expect the number of cases of mesothelioma in the U.S. to be peaking now, approximately 40 years after the 1980s when asbestos use began to markedly decrease. However, there are large amounts of asbestos still in place from past use as insulation and in products such as asbestos cement, floor tiles and outdoor siding that can cause more recent exposure during renovation or demolition operations. Mortality from asbestos shows how the long latency period of adverse health effects from asbestos causes disease long after its use has decreased (Figure 10).

FIGURE 10
US Asbestos Consumption per Capita (1920-2006), Actual
(1968-2004) and Projected (2005-2049) Deaths from Asbestos



The Michigan Cancer Registry collects data on the demographics of mesothelioma in Michigan. The number of patients with mesothelioma by year in Michigan since 1985 is shown in Figure 11. In 2019, the most recent year with data compiled, there were 92 new cases (70 men and 22 women). The age range was 24-95 years, with an average age of 75. The peak year was in 2000, with 136 new cases. New cases per year ranged from 92-130 in subsequent years.

Approximately 25% of the mesothelioma reports were women. In 2019, of the 92 cases, 96% were white, 3% were Black and 1% were American Indian.

Figure 12 shows the age at diagnosis separately for men and women. Consistent with latency from first exposure to asbestos, the number of mesothelioma cases increased with age. The peak age of occurrence of mesothelioma was for individuals 65 years and older for men and women.

FIGURE 11

Number of Men and Women in Michigan Diagnosed with Mesothelioma: 1985-2019





FIGURE 12

Cases of Mesothelioma in Michigan by Gender and Age at Diagnosis: 1985-2019



There were 4-5 times more men than women with mesothelioma, reflecting the likelihood of men and women working in an occupation/industry with asbestos exposure. Table 9 shows the distribution of industry and occupation for men and women, based on death certificate data from 2016-2019. Construction, shipbuilding, and certain industrial facilities where asbestos insulation was used on piping, reaction kettles, furnaces and to make asbestos-containing products were the most frequently reported on death certificates. Given that the information on occupation and industry comes from death certificates from the Michigan Vital Records Division, the occupation and industry reported is the person's usual occupation and industry and may differ from what they did 30-40 years prior to their diagnosis and death when they were exposed to asbestos. Coastal states, which had a large shipbuilding and repairing industry generally have the highest incidence of mesothelioma, while the incidence in industrial states like Michigan have the next highest rates [11,12].

Table 9
Industry and Occupation of Mesothelioma Patients, Michigan, 2016-2019

Industry (men/women) Occupation	#	%
Agriculture (5/1)	6	2.1
Farmer (6)		
Mining (2/0)	2	0.7
Engineer (1), Miner (1)		
Utilities (5/0)	5	1.7
Engineer (2), Janitor (2), Utility worker (1)		
Construction (56/0)	56	19.3
Carpenter (7), Electrician (4), Engineer (2), General laborer (27), Office worker (1), Plumber/pipefitter (7), Supervisor (8)		
Manufacturing (98/6)	104	35.9
Engineer (11), Factory worker (43), Janitor (2), Professional/office (17), Skilled trades (26), Transportation/driver (5)		
Retail Trade (11/2)	13	4.5
Professional/office (4), Retail trade worker (9)		
Transportation & Warehousing (17/0)	17	5.9
Engineer (1), Professional/office (1), Transportation worker (6), Truck driver (7), Water transport worker (2)		
Information (4/2)	6	2.1
Professional/office (3), Technician (3)		
Finance (4/2)	6	2.1
Professional/office (6)		
Professional, Scientific & Technical Services (1/3)	4	1.4
Engineer (1), Professional/office (3)		
Administrative & Support & Waste Mgt Services (2/1)	3	1.0
Grounds maintenance (2), Office worker (1)		
Educational Services (10/5)	15	5.2
Administration (1), Janitor (3), Teacher (11)		
Health Care & Social Assistance (4/15)	19	6.6
Health care worker (8), Janitor (2), Nurse/aid (5), Professional/office (4)		
Arts, Entertainment & Recreation (2/2)	4	1.4
Artist (3), Coach (1)		
Accommodation & Food Services (1/3)	4	1.4
Food service worker (3), Professional/office (1)		
Other Services (2/0)	2	0.7
Mechanic (2)		
Public Administration (14/1)	15	5.2
Judge (1), Mail carrier (1), Maintenance (2), Professional/office (5), Public safety (6)		
Not Employed (1/8)	9	3.1
Total	290*	
*One additional listed unknown (male)		

**Mesothelioma Encasing Lung
on Autopsy Specimen**




Figure 13 shows the distribution of the number of cases of mesothelioma among Michigan residents by county. The southeast and central region of Michigan has the highest number of cases of mesothelioma. Increased cases of mesothelioma in Marquette County in the Upper Peninsula are thought to be from asbestos exposure on equipment used in paper mills, and mining operations where asbestos was a contaminant during ore mining as well as exposure from asbestos insulation during ore processing. Increased cases in Bay and Saginaw counties are thought to be from asbestos exposure used in the largest shipyard that was in Michigan, and foundries in Saginaw County. In Muskegon and Kent counties as well as the Detroit metropolitan area, asbestos exposure occurred within the numerous industrial facilities located in these regions. Figure 14 shows the average annual incidence rates of mesothelioma among Michigan residents by county. The counties with the highest rates are: Clinton (2.4 per 100,000); Marquette (2.3 per 100,000); Bay (2.1 per 100,000); Midland and St. Clair (each with 1.8 per 100,000); and Muskegon, Saginaw and St. Joseph (each with 1.6 per 100,000). The annual average mesothelioma incidence rate for 1999-2018 in Michigan was 1.1 cases per 100,000.

Mesothelioma has a poor prognosis. Data from the 18 cancer registries in the CDC Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program (SEER) reported 91.4% mortality with a median of seven months from diagnosis [13]. The best treatment results are found for patients receiving multimodality therapy, usually consisting of neoadjuvant chemotherapy, followed by surgery and adjuvant radiotherapy. Combining these modalities, a median survival of 17-38 months for patients with tumor Stage I, to 7-24 months for patients with tumor Stage IV has been reported [14]. One aspect of mesothelioma management that can be overlooked by physicians is the availability of compensation to cover medical care and funeral costs and support of family dependents. Patients with mesothelioma and their dependents should be encouraged to seek legal advice regarding the potential to receive compensation.

FIGURE 13

Distribution of MI Residents Diagnosed with Mesothelioma by County: 1999-2019





FIGURE 14

Age-Adjusted Incidence Rates of Mesothelioma among MI Residents, by County: 1999-2018



Other Work-Related Lung Diseases (OLDs)

2011 was the first year of data collection for other work-related lung diseases (OLDs). Other lung diseases from exposures in the workplace include breathing problems that are not necessarily chronic in nature, in addition to those that are chronic. Conditions that we have identified since beginning OLDs surveillance include acute conditions such as chemical irritation/irritative bronchitis where an acute exposure results in a health provider visit and limited treatment, with resolution of symptoms. Other conditions covered include smoke inhalation from fires or burning material, infectious agents from exposures at work, and chemical pneumonitis. Chronic conditions are also included in this grouping, with other pneumoconioses, hard metal lung disease and coal workers' pneumoconiosis. A physician board-certified in internal and occupational medicine reviews all medical records to determine first, whether the condition is work-related and secondly, the nature of the illness and classification into general categories of disease. In cases where the work-relatedness of the exposure is unclear, additional medical records may be obtained and/or a patient interview completed.

Table 10 shows the distribution of diseases reported by year, from 2015-2020. 2011-2014 data can be found in prior annual reports. Over all the years, chemical irritation/irritative bronchitis and chemical pneumonitis were the most common conditions.

Each year varies slightly in the types of conditions reported, in part related to the reporting sources within a given year. In 2011 and 2012, hospitals and Workers' Compensation reported 72% of the 139 cases, and 68% of the 191 cases, respectively. In 2013 and 2014, the Poison Control Center and hospitals reported 69% of the 162 cases, and 63% of the 150 cases, respectively. In 2015, hospitals reported 59% of the 167 cases, followed by Workers' Compensation reporting 16% of the cases (Table 11).

The following statistics are based on the 161 cases of other lung diseases confirmed from 2020.

Similar to delays in reporting cases of silicosis, OLDs reports are incomplete from delays in hospital reporting. Table 11 shows the primary reporting source of the 161 persons confirmed with OLDs in 2020. In 2020, the Poison Control Center reported 39% of the 161 cases, hospitals reported 29% of the cases, Workers' Compensation reported 24% of the cases, physicians reported 2% of the cases, and new in 2020, seven percent of reports were from the MI Emergency Medical Services (EMS) system. In 2020, there were no reports from death certificates or laboratories.

Ninety-four of the OLDs cases were classified as chemical irritation/irritative bronchitis, 10 had COVID, five each had asbestosis and COPD, four had anaphylactic-like reactions, three each had smoke inhalation, metal fume fever and exposure to an infectious agent, two had chemical pneumonitis, and one had silo-related disease. An additional 31 had a definite work-related respiratory illness that could not be classified more specifically (Table 10).

The following case narrative describes an exposure and symptoms related to an OLDs case reported in 2020:

Chemical Irritation: Case 1. A female in her 50s developed chemical irritation after using a bleach spray at work. She had difficulty breathing, a cough and throat irritation. **Case 2.** A male in his 40s developed a cough and shortness of breath while cleaning with bleach. He was prescribed prednisone in the Emergency Department. He was a lifelong non-smoker. **Infectious Agent (Legionnaires):** A male in his 40s developed legionnaires disease while working as an HVAC technician. He is a one pack per day cigarette smoker.

TABLE 10
Other Work-Related Lung Diseases Reported 2015-2020*

	YEAR REPORTED											
	2015		2016		2017		2018		2019		2020	
DISEASE	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Chemical Irritation/Irritative Bronchitis	94	56	166	53	391	71	187	69	174	73	94	58
Chemical Pneumonitis	10	6	9	3	40	7	13	5	0	--	2	1
Asbestos-Related	9	5	75	24	18	3	9	3	3	1	5	3
Smoke Inhalation	5	3	3	1	13	2	6	2	7	3	3	2
COPD	14	8	13	4	12	2	11	4	10	4	5	3
Silo-Related Disease	0	--	0	--	1	<1	0	--	0	--	1	1
Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome	0	--	0	--	1	<1	1	<1	0	--	0	--
Allergies/Rhinitis/Anaphylactic Reaction	1	1	3	1	4	1	1	<1	1	<1	4	2
Hard Metal Lung Disease	2	1	2	1	1	<1	2	1	0	--	0	--
Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis	7	4	7	2	23	4	4	1	5	2	0	--
Infectious Agent	2	1	2	1	4	1	6	2	0	--	3	2
Metal Fume Fever	0	--	1	<1	8	1	3	1	2	1	3	2
Sinus- related	0	--	1	<1	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--
Coal Workers' Pneumoconiosis	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--
Pneumoconiosis NOS	0	--	1	<1	2	<1	0	--	0	--	0	--
Lung Trauma	1	1	3	1	0	--	1	<1	2	1	0	--
Respiratory Bronchiolitis	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	1	<1	0	--
Lung Cancer	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--
Pneumothorax	0	--	1	<1	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--
Pulmonary Embolism	0	--	0	--	0	--	1	<1	0	--	0	--
Beryllium Lung Disease	3	2	1	<1	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--
Vocal Cord Dysfunction	1	1	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--	0	--
Siderosis	0	--	0	--	0	--	2	1	1	<1	0	--
Infectious COVID-19											10	6
Respiratory Illness NOS	18	11	28	9	34	6	25	9	32	13	31	19
TOTAL	167		316		552		272		238		161	

*Percentages may be greater or less than 100 due to rounding.

TABLE 11
Reporting Source for OLDS
Cases: 2020

REPORTING SOURCE	#	%
Poison Control Ctr	62	39
Hospital	46	29
Workers' Comp	38	24
Physician Report	3	2
Laboratory	0	--
Death Certificate	0	—
EMS (Ambulance)	12	7
TOTAL	161	

Demographic Characteristics

One hundred and two (65%) of the persons with OLDS were men; 56 (35%) were women, and gender was unknown for three cases. The average age of the OLDS cases was 40, ranging from 17 to 94 years of age.

Type of Industry

Table 12 shows the primary type of industry where exposure occurred among the OLDS cases. The predominant industry where individuals were exposed was manufacturing with 12 cases (7%), followed by 11 cases (7%) in public administration, seven (4%) in health care, and six (4%) in accommodations and food services. The 64 individuals with a known workplace with OLDS worked at 64 different facilities. The workplace was unknown for 97 individuals.

MIOSHA Inspections-Industrial Hygiene Results

There were no inspections in 2020 for OLDS due to the COVID-19 pandemic. There was one inspection for a hypersensitivity case in 2019 at a machine shop where the individual was exposed to metal working fluids. Air monitoring for total respirable dust exposure was below the OSHA permissible exposure limit. The company was cited for incomplete recording and reporting of occupational injuries and illnesses. The company was also given numerous recommendations including: Housekeeping- cutting oils were on the floors, this was recommended to be cleaned up; Occupational Noise- noise monitoring showed levels of 75-85 dbA, it was recommended to have a consultant review the company's hearing conservation program; and Hazard Communication- it was recommended to keep written proof of all training records.

TABLE 12
Primary Industrial Exposure for OLDS Cases
Reported in 2020

2002 North American Industry Classification System		#	%
11	Ag, Forestry, Fishing & Hunting	4	2
21	Mining	0	--
22	Utilities	0	--
23	Construction	4	2
31-33	Manufacturing	12	7
44-45	Retail Trade	4	2
48-49	Transportation & Warehousing	1	1
51	Information	0	--
52	Finance & Insurance	1	1
54	Veterinary Services	0	--
56	Administrative & Support & Waste Mgt & Remediation Svcs	4	2
61	Educational Services	5	3
62	Health Care & Social Assistance	7	4
71	Arts, Entertainment, & Rec	3	2
72	Accommodation & Food Services	6	4
81	Auto Repair, Dry Cleaning, etc	2	1
92	Public Administration	11	7
00	Unknown	97	60
TOTAL		161	

Discussion

We published a summary of silicosis surveillance in Michigan from 1988- 2016 [4]. The main characteristics of the individuals reported during Michigan's 29+ years of silicosis surveillance are that they are elderly men who mainly worked in foundries in three counties. The age distribution is similar to that reported in the 1960s [15]. The older age of the patient (average year of birth, 1924) is secondary to the chronic nature of the disease and the typical long exposure to silica required to develop the disease (average 27 years of exposure to silica). However, we continue to receive reports of individuals with short-term exposure, who began work in the 1970s, 1980s, 1990s and four in the 2000s. Overall, 98 (8.7%) of 1,128 silicosis cases with known duration worked for less than 10 years. One hundred twenty-five (11.1%) of the 1,130 individuals with known decade of hire began work in the 1970s, 1980s, 1990s or 2000s; 30 of them had worked for less than ten years. Individuals with silicosis who began working since the 1970s were more likely to have done sandblasting than those who began working with silica before 1970 (52% vs. 34%). Of the 36 people who first were exposed to silica since the 1980s, seven worked in foundries, four worked in auto manufacturing, three did cement/masonry work, three worked in construction, two were buffing and polishing metal, two worked in auto repair, two worked at a tool and die shop, one worked in mineral processing, one worked in a

dental laboratory, one was a heavy equipment operator who did excavating, one was a painter, one was a painter/sandblaster, one was a welder/sandblaster, one worked as a miner in gold fields in the Southwest, one welded, one worked in a boiler fabrication shop, one worked for a small sandpaper manufacturing operation, one was an oiler in an iron ore mine, one worked at a bronze foundry, and one was a plumber.

African American men are over-represented (37%), reflecting previous hiring practices in foundries [16]. African American workers consistently had higher incidence rates of silicosis than their white counterparts in the counties where rates were compared between these groups (Table 4). Overall, for the state, the average annual incidence of silicosis among African American workers was 6.5 per 100,000 versus 1.3 per 100,000 for white workers (a 5.0-fold greater incidence rate).

The individuals reported generally have advanced disease: 283 (23.4%) with progressive massive fibrosis and another 431 (35.6%) with advanced simple silicosis (category 2 or 3). Only 25% of the reported patients had normal breathing tests [4]. Individuals had both restrictive and obstructive changes. Obstructive changes, although more prevalent among individuals who had smoked cigarettes, were found in half of the individuals who never smoked cigarettes (Table 3). The incidence of TB in the confirmed silicosis cases was 7%; this is 1,000-fold greater than that in the general population in the last decade [4]. Despite the severity of their disease, 62% had not applied for Workers' Compensation.

The reports of Michigan silicotics having obstructive lung changes is consistent with published reports of increased chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) among silicotics, as well as among individuals without silicosis who have had silica exposure [17]. Individuals with silicosis are at risk of developing pulmonary hypertension, clinically significant bronchitis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [18].

Hospitals are the primary reporting source of the patients identified through Michigan's surveillance system. Hospital discharge reporting is a more cost-effective method for identifying silica problem worksites than physician reporting, death certificates or Workers' Compensation data [19]. A comprehensive surveillance system for silicosis that combines all four reporting sources is as good, if not better, return for public health dollars invested as most other existing public health programs [19].

Silicotics have an increased morbidity and mortality for malignant and non-malignant respiratory disease [1,20]. The increased risk for death is found both in patients who ever or never smoked cigarettes [1]. Individuals with silicosis also have an increased risk of developing connective tissue disease, particularly rheumatoid arthritis [21,22] as well as an increased risk of developing chronic renal disease, especially anti-neutrophilic cytoplasmic antibodies (ANCA) positive disease [23,24,25].

The national employer-based surveillance system was not designed to count chronic diseases such as silicosis. We have previously estimated that there were 3,600 to 7,300 newly diagnosed cases of silicosis each year in the United States from 1987–1996. [2] Using the same methodology for the time period 1997–2003 we estimate there were 5,586–11,674 newly diagnosed cases of silicosis per year in the United States. A recent paper using national Medicare data on hospitalizations estimated 3,260–7,105 cases per year [26]. Using an alternative approach with hospital discharge data, we estimate there were 1,372–2,867 newly diagnosed cases of silicosis per year in the United States. Based on the results of the national Medicare data and our extrapolation from national death certificate data, we believe that the true number of new cases of silicosis is closer to these larger estimates than using the actual number of death certificates that mention silicosis (~150 per year) or the Bureau of Labor Statistics estimate based on employer reporting, which in 1999 reported only 2,200 cases for all dust diseases of the lung, including asbestosis and coal worker's pneumoconiosis in addition to silicosis.

Industrial hygiene inspections reveal violations of the exposure standard for silica in 39% of the facilities where sampling was done. However, follow-up inspections of these same companies have shown a significant decrease in silica exposures. Companies not in compliance with the silica standard are requiring their workers to use powered air-purifying respirators or air-line respirators. However, because of an inadequate or absent medical surveillance

program in 89% of the facilities, there is no way to monitor the adequacy of these controls in terms of health outcomes.

The number of individuals reported with silicosis continues to show a downward trend. Manufacturing, including foundries, continues to be the most common source of exposure to silica. In the last 10 years, the percentage of cases of silicosis from exposure to silica in foundries has decreased to 63% while cases from construction have increased to 23% and cases from mining have increased to 11% [4]. Michigan workers continue to be at risk of developing silicosis because of continued use of silica among abrasive blasters and inadequate controls in the construction industry, at foundries currently in operation, as well as in emerging industries with silica exposure including hydraulic fracturing [27] and engineered stone countertop fabrication [28]. Even without the development of silicosis, silica exposure is a risk factor for the development of lung cancer, connective tissue disease, tuberculosis and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) [17,20,29]. These risks justify tighter workplace controls for silica even if the number of new cases of silicosis continues to decline.

In 2017, Michigan OSHA promulgated a new comprehensive standard for silica that includes a lower allowable level of silica in the air, worker education and medical surveillance. The standard is needed despite a decreasing trend of silicosis cases identified in Michigan, since this trend is more likely a product of more automated work practices with a smaller number of workers at risk than safer workplaces. The major decrease in cases of silicosis in Michigan can be attributed to the decrease in the number of foundry workers. There was a 75% decrease of foundry workers from 1973 to 1991 (Figure 6) and an 83% decrease in reported cases of silicosis from 1993 to 2011, factoring in a 20-year latency period for the development of silicosis. Additionally, the number of abrasive blasting companies using silica decreased 71% from 1995 to 2011 (2011 Annual Report—Tracking Silicosis and Other Work-Related Diseases in Michigan).

We are optimistic about the downward trend in reported silicosis cases but remain concerned about ongoing silica exposure and the increased risk of lung cancer, TB, COPD, connective tissue disease, and kidney disease associated with silica exposure. The promulgated comprehensive silica standard will be helpful in the foundry industry as well as for newer exposures in highway reconstruction, engineered stone countertop fabrication and hydraulic fracturing; it is too soon to see any ill health effects of these newer silica exposure sources. The new silica regulations require medical examinations beginning June 23, 2017 in Construction regardless of measured air levels and in 2018 in General Industry if the silica air level is above the permissible exposure limit (PEL) and in 2020 if the silica level is at or above the PEL.

Asbestos-related disease, both malignant and non-malignant, is the single most commonly diagnosed occupational lung disease. Like silicosis, there continues to be a downward trend in reported cases. Unlike silica, there is little use of asbestos in new production, but risk still remains from asbestos still being present, such as in older buildings and automobile brakes. Asbestos-related disease is tracked from a variety of reporting sources in Michigan, including hospital inpatient discharge data, the 3rd Judicial Circuit Court, B-readers and other physicians, death certificates, and an annual survey of Michigan B-readers.

Targeting smoking cessation programs to individuals who work or used to work with asbestos should be a high priority. Guidelines for lung cancer screening from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force recommend low-dose CT scans for adults 55 to 80 years of age who have a 30-pack-year cigarette smoking history and currently smoke or quit smoking less than 15 years prior. The guidelines do not mention asbestos exposure as a criterion. Given the known synergism between cigarettes and asbestos in increasing the risk of lung cancer for either exposure alone, supports screening individuals 50 to 80 with 20 years of asbestos exposure who ever smoked cigarettes regardless of whether they quit. For more information on the background for including asbestosis and asbestos exposure history in the determination for performing screening for lung cancer, see the PS News Summer 2015 newsletter (V26N3) at: www.oem.msu.edu. Similar data for silicosis and silica exposure is not available, but such screening should also be considered for these individuals.

The 10th year of OLDs surveillance resulted in the identification of a variety of respiratory illnesses from workplace

exposures. Future surveillance of OLDs cases will continue to identify workplaces where MIOSHA inspections are warranted. Other activities will focus on characterizing the nature and extent of the OLDs cases, and the identification of areas where education could benefit individuals who develop OLDs and to help prevent OLDs in others with similar workplaces and exposures.

References

- [1] Rosenman KD, Stanbury MJ, Reilly MJ. *Mortality Among Persons with Silicosis Reported to Two State-Based Surveillance Systems*. Scand J Work Environ Health 1995; 21 Supplement 2:73-76.
- [2] Rosenman KD, Reilly MJ, Henneberger PK. *Estimating the Total Number of Newly Diagnosed Silicotics in the United States*. Am J Ind Med 2003; 44: 141-147.
- [3] Rosenman KD, Reilly MJ, Gardiner J. *Results of Spirometry among Individuals in a Silicosis Registry*. J Occup Environ Med 2010; 52: 1173-1178.
- [4] Reilly MJ, Timmer SJ, Rosenman KD. *The Burden of Silicosis in Michigan, 1988-2016*. Annals Am Thoracic Society 2018; 15: 1404-1410.
- [5] NIOSH. Asbestosis. <https://www.cdc.gov/eworld/Grouping/Asbestosis/92> Accessed April 3, 2018
- [6] Rosenman KD, Trimbath L, Stanbury M. *Surveillance of Occupational Lung Disease: Comparison of Hospital Discharge Data to Physician Reporting*. Am J Public Health 1990; 80:1257-1258.
- [7] Greenberg M. *History of Mesothelioma*. European Respiratory Journal 1997; 10:2690-2691.
- [8] Lemen RA. *Mesothelioma from asbestos exposures: Epidemiologic patterns and impact in the United States*. J Tox Environ Health, Part B 2016;19:250–265.
- [9] Leigh J, Davidson P, Hendrie L, Berry D. *Malignant mesothelioma in Australia 1945-2000*. Ann Occ Hyg 2002;46:160–165.
- [10] Spirtas R, Heineman E, Bernstein L, Beebe GW, Keehn RJ, Stark A, Harlow BL and Benichou J. *Malignant Mesothelioma: Attributable Risk of Asbestos Exposure*. Occup Environ Med 1994; 51: 804-811.
- [11] Mazurek JM, Syamlal G, Wood JM, Hendricks SA, Weston A. [Malignant Mesothelioma Mortality - United States, 1999- 2015](#). MMWR 2017;66:214-218.
- [12] Mazurek JM, Blackley DJ, Weissman DN. [Malignant Mesothelioma Mortality in Women - United States, 1999-2020](#). MMWR 2022;71:645-649.
- [13] Choi AY, Singh A, Wang D, Pittala K, Hoang CD. [Current State of Pleural-Directed Adjuncts Against Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma](#). Front Oncol 2022;12:886430. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022.886430.
- [14] Liu B, Niu L, Boscoe F, Lee FF. [Predictors of Survival among Male and Female Patients with Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma: A Random Survival Forest Analysis of Data from the 2000-2017 Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program](#). J Registry Manag 2021;48:118-125.
- [15] Choi AY, Singh A, Wang D, Pittala K, Hoang CD. [Current State of Pleural-Directed Adjuncts Against Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma](#). Front Oncol 2022;12:886430. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.886430.
- [16] Trasko VM. *Some Facts on the Prevalence of Silicosis in the United States*. AMA Archives of Industrial Health 1956; 14:379-386.
- [17] Foote CL, Whatley WC, Wright G. *Arbitrating a Discriminatory Labor Market: Black Workers at the Ford Motor Company, 1918-1947*. J Labor Economics 2003; 21: 493-532.
- [18] Hnizdo E, Vallyathan V. *Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease Due to Occupational Exposure to Silica Dust: A Review of Epidemiological and Pathological Evidence*. Occup Environ Med 2003; 60: 237-243.
- [19] Rosenman KD, Zhu Z. *Pneumoconiosis and Associated Medical Conditions*. Am J Ind Med 1995; 27: 107-113.
- [20] Rosenman KD, Hogan A, Reilly MJ. *What is the Most Cost-Effective Way to Identify Silica Problem Worksites?* Am J Ind Med 2001; 39: 629-635.
- [21] Davis GS. *Silica in Occupational and Environmental Respiratory Disease*. Eds Harber P, Schenker MD, Balmes JR. St. Louis, Missouri: Mosby, 1996; 373-399.
- [22] Makol A, Reilly MJ, Rosenman KD. *Prevalence of Connective Tissue Disease in Silicosis (1985-2006)*. Am J Ind Med 2011; 54: 255-262.
- [23] Rosenman KD, Moore-Fuller M, Reilly MJ. *Connective Tissue Disease and Silicosis*. Am J Ind Med 1999; 35: 375-381.
- [24] Rosenman KD, Moore-Fuller M, Reilly MJ. *Kidney Disease and Silicosis*. Nephron 2000; 85: 14-19.

- [24] Gregorini G, Tira P, Frizza J, D’Haese PC, Elseviers MM, Nuyts GD, Maiorcar, DeBroe ME. *ANCA-Associated Diseases and Silica Exposure*. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol 1997; 15: 21-40.
- [25] Steenland K, Rosenman KD, Socie E, Valiante D. *Silicosis and End-Stage Renal Disease*. Scand J Work Environ Health 2002; 28: 439-442.
- [26] Casey ML and Mazurek JM. Silicosis prevalence and incidence among Medicare beneficiaries. AJIM 2019; 62: 183-191.
- [27] Rosenman KD. *Hydraulic Fracturing and the Risk of Silicosis*. Clinical Pulmonary Medicine. 2014; 21: 167-172.
- [28] Friedman GK, Harrison R, Bojes H, Worthington K, Filios M. *Notes from the Field—Silicosis in a Countertop Fabricator—Texas, 2014*. MMWR. February 15, 2015; 64 (5): 129-130.
- [29] NIOSH Hazard Review. *Health Effects of Occupational Exposure to Respirable Crystalline Silica*. Cincinnati, Ohio: DHHS (NIOSH) 2002-129.