AUGUST 15, 2016

# 2015 Annual Report

# SUMMARY OF OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE REPORTS TO THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS



# NOTE

The Michigan Health and Hospital Association, Michigan In-patient and Out-patient databases for calendar year 2015 were not available at the time of publication of the 2015 Annual Report (8-15-2016). This information will be updated when it becomes available, affecting Figures 4 & 5 (page 11) and Tables 9 & 10 (page 12).

#### 2015 Annual Report

Summary of Occupational Disease Reports to the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs

August 15,2016

### 2015 ANNUAL REPORT SUMMARY OF OCCUPATIONAL DISEASE REPORTS TO THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING and REGULATORY AFFAIRS

### **Occupational Disease Surveillance Program**

**BLS** Bureau of Labor Statistics

LARA MI Department of

Licensing and Regulatory

**MDHHS** Michigan Department of Health and

MIOSHA Michigan

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Environmental Medicine

NAICS North American

**NIOSH** National Institute

for Occupational Safety and

**OD Report** Occupational

WCA Workers' Compensation

Industrial Classification System

**MSU OEM** Michigan State University Occupational and

Human Services

Acronyms

Affairs

Health

Agency

Disease Report

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

BACKGROUND	1-2
METHODS	3
RESULTS	4-18
DISCUSSION	<i>19-20</i>
REFERENCES	20

There are many ways to report occupational diseases to the state:

#### **ONLINE:**

www.oem.msu.edu

EMAIL: ODReport@ht.msu.edu

FAX:

517.432.3606

TELEPHONE: 1.800.446.7805

### MAIL:

LARA, MIOSHA Technical Services Division 530 W Allegan St PO BOX 30649 Lansing, MI 48909 Michigan State University Department of Medicine West Fee Hall 909 Fee Road, Room 117 East Lansing, MI 48824 517.353.1846 Kenneth D. Rosenman, MD Mary Jo Reilly, MS

Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA)

PO Box 30649 Lansing, MI 48909

517.284.7777

Barton G. Pickelman Acting Director MIOSHA

There are many resources available to help employers, employees, healthcare professionals and others understand more about workrelated diseases. Links to these resources can be found at: www.oem.msu.edu.

# Background

This is the 24th annual report on occupational diseases in Michigan, and is based upon the reports submitted to the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA) in calendar year 2015. Since 1978, physicians, hospitals, clinics, other health professionals and employers have been required by the Michigan Public Health Code (Article 368, Part 56, P.A. 1978, as amended) to report known or suspected



This report was funded by the National Institute for Occupational Safety & Health, under cooperative agreement U60-OH008466.

cases of occupational diseases. LARA designates Michigan State University's College of Human Medicine, Occupational and Environmental Medicine Division (MSU OEM) as its bona fide

### Part 56 of the Michigan Public Health Code requires reporting of all known or suspected occupational illnesses or workaggravated health conditions to the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs within 10 days of discovery.



In 2015, 839 (1.3%) of the 64,603 human exposure-related calls to the Michigan Poison Control Center were related to occupational exposures.

# Background continued...

agent to compile and analyze the occupational disease reports.

A standard form is used to report individuals with a known or suspected work-related condition. It requests medical and demographic information on the affected employee as well as information about the facility at which the employee became ill. Figure 1 is a copy of the Known or Suspected Occupational Disease reporting form.

Reports received are reviewed by MSU OEM staff and computerized.

In some cases, additional follow-up is conducted. The reported patient may be contacted and interviewed by staff at MSU OEM to obtain more information about their illness. A Michigan Occupational Safety and Health Administration (MIOSHA) enforcement inspection may be initiated at the patient's workplace to assess current working conditions and determine if other employees are experiencing similar health issues.

Reports are analyzed on a yearly basis and the results are shared with health professionals and other stakeholders.

### Figure 1. Occupational Disease Reporting Form

2	EMPLOYE	E AFFECTE	D		100
lame (Last, First, Middle)	Age	Sex M F	Race: White Other	Black	Hispanic
Street	City			State	Zip
Iome Phone Number	Last	Four Digits of S	ocial Security Number	r (Optional)	.1
	CURREN	TEMPLOYER	2		
Current Employer Name		Worksite Co	unty		
Vorksite Address		City		State	Zip
usiness Phone		If Known, Inc	licate Business Type (	products man	ufactured or wor
lumbor of Employeer		done)			
tuniber of Employees					
⊃<25 ○25-100 ○100	0-500 >500				
Employee's Work Unit/Department		Dates of Em	ployment		
		From:	T	o:	Voor
Employee's Job Title or Description of Work		1 100	ay lea	ino Day	Tear
211744 31					
Jature of Illness or Health Condition (Example	ILLNESS I	A Difficulty Br	athing Cough atc.)	Date	of Diagnosis
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus	ea, Difficulty Br	eathing, Cough, etc.)	Date	e of Diagnosis
Vature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus	ea, Difficulty Br	eathing, Cough, etc.)	Date	e of Diagnosis lo _ Day _ Year
Vature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi	tions) Did E	mployee Die?	Date M If Ye	e of Diagnosis fo <u>Day</u> Year es, Date of Death
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi	tions) Did E Yes	mployee Die?	Date M If Ye M	e of Diagnosis lo <u>Day</u> Year es, Date of Death loDayYea
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupatio	tions) Did E Yes	mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme	Date M If Ye M ed Occupation	e of Diagnosis lo <u>Day</u> Year es, Date of Death lo Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for 5	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupatio	tions) Did E Yes inal Disease, or	mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme	Date M If Ye M ed Occupation	e of Diagnosis lo Day Year es, Date of Death lo Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupatio ADDITION/	tions) Did E Yes inal Disease, or	mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme	Date M If Ye M ed Occupation	e of Diagnosis to Day Year es, Date of Death to Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupation	tions) Did E Yes nal Disease, or	mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme	Date M If Ye M ad Occupation	e of Diagnosis lo Day Year es, Date of Death lo Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents. Condi Suspected Occupatio ADDITION/	tions) Did E Yes ' nal Disease, or	mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme	Date	e of Diagnosis lo Day Year es, Date of Death lo Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupatio ADDITION/	tions) Did E Yes ' nal Disease, or	mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme	Date	e of Diagnosis lo <u>Day</u> Year es, Date of Death to Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S	ILLNESS 1 ILLNESS Headache, Naus vsical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupatio ADDITION/	tions) Did E mail Disease, or	mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme	Date M If Ye M ed Occupation	e of Diagnosis to Day Year es, Date of Death to Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S	ILLNESS 1 ILLNESS 1 Headache, Naus suspected Occupation ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	tions) Did E road Difficulty Brit nal Disease, or AL COMMENT UBMITTED E ician?	athing, Cough, etc.) mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme TS SY	Date M F Ye M ed Occupation	e of Diagnosis to Day Year es, Date of Death to Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S f Physician (Example of Source of Sour	ILLNESS I sical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupation ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	INFORMATION Rea, Difficulty Brind tions) Did E Yes i mai Disease, or AL COMMENT UBMITTED E Ician?	athing. Cough, etc.) mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme TS 3Y	Date M M Ye o M d Occupation	e of Diagnosis to Day Year es, Date of Death to Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S Physician, Indicate Dy Non-Physician, Did Et f Report Submitted by Non-Physician, Did Et f yes, record information below.	ILLNESS I ILLNESS I Ies: Headache. Naus suspected Occupatio ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	INFORMATION rea, Difficulty Brind tions) Did E Yes nal Disease, or AL COMMENT UBMITTED E ician? Yee I Pit	TS No	Date M D D d Occupation Don't Know	e of Diagnosis to Day Year rs, Date of Death to Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S f Report Submitted by Non-Physician, Did En f yes, record information below.	ILLNESS 1 les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupatio ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	IDENTIFICATION OF THE OFFICIENT OF THE OFFICIENT OF THE OFFICIENCE OF THE OFFICIENCE OF THE OFFICIENCE OFFICOEFICIENCE OFFICIENCE OFFICOEFICOEFICOEFICOEFI	mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme TS SY No One	Date N M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M	e of Diagnosis to Day Year es, Date of Death to Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for 5 f Physician State Clinical Impression for	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupation ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	AL COMMENT UBMITTED E Ician? Yes UBMITTED E Ician? Yer Cli	arthing. Cough, etc.) mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme TS SY Some Y	Don't Know	e of Diagnosis to Day Year es, Date of Death to Day Yea al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S f Report Submitted by Non-Physician, Did Et f yes, record information below. Physician's Name Office Address Name of Person Submitting Report	ILLNESS I les: Headache. Naus ysical Agents. Condi Suspected Occupatio ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	NP ORMATION tions) Did E real Difficulty Bin Yes i nal Disease, or AL COMMEN UBMITTED E ician? Yei Cit	athing. Cough, etc.) mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme TS SY s No No SY	Don't Know	e of Diagnosis to Day Year s, Date of Death to Day Year al Disease Zip
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S f Report Submitted by Non-Physician, Did Er f yes, record information below. Physician's Name Office Address Name of Person Submitting Report	ILLNESS I ILLNESS I Iss: Headache, Naus suspected Occupatio ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	Int ORMATION rea, Difficulty Brittions) Did E Yes nal Disease, or AL COMMENT COMMENT Citizan? Yer Citizan?	Anthing. Cough, etc.)  mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme  TS  SY  s No Physician No	Don't Know	e of Diagnosis to Day Year is, Date of Death to Day Yei al Disease
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S Physician's Name Office Address Name of Person Submitting Report Address	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupatio ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	AL COMMENT UBMITTED E Ician? Yes UBMITTED E Ician? Yer ICIA	arthing, Cough, etc.) mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme TS SY S No Physician No No	Don't Know State n-Physician State Sta	e of Diagnosis to Day Year is, Date of Death to Day Year al Disease Zip Zip Zip
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S f Report Submitted by Non-Physician, Did Er f yes, record information below. Physician's Name Office Address Name of Person Submitting Report Address Signature	ILLNESS I les: Headache, Naus ysical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupatio ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	AL COMMENT UBMITTED E Ician? Yes UBMITTED E Ician? Yer Cli Cli Ph	athing. Cough, etc.) mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme TS SY Some Y Physician No Y ione	Don't Know Don't Know State n-Physician State	e of Diagnosis to Day Year s, Date of Death to Day Year al Disease Zip Zip Zip Zip Date
Nature of Illness or Health Condition (Exampl Suspected Causative Agents (Chemicals, Ph f Physician, Indicate Clinical Impression for S f Report Submitted by Non-Physician, Did Er f yes, record information below. Physician's Name Office Address Name of Person Submitting Report Address Signature	ILLNESS I ILLNESS I Visical Agents, Condi Suspected Occupation ADDITION/ REPORT S mployee See a Phys	AL COMMENT UBMITTED E ician? Ye Cit Cit Cit Cit Cit Cit Cit Cit	arthing, Cough, etc.) mployee Die? No Diagnosis of Confirme TS SY Some No Physician No Y Y Sy	Don't Know	e of Diagnosis to Day Year s, Date of Death to Day Yei al Disease Zip Zip Date

# **METHODS**

An occupational disease (OD) report is initiated when a clinician knows or suspects that a patient's illness is work-related. Reports are submitted by or requested from a variety of sources, listed below. Additional reports are generated through annual review of the Michigan Health and Hospital Association inpatient database.

### SOURCES TO IDENTIFY PATIENTS

- ♦ Health Care Providers Private practice, working for industry, NIOSH-certified "B" readers, audiologists, clinics
- Employers
- Hospitals for International Classification of Diseases—9th Revision (ICD-9)<sup>1</sup> 502, 501, 495, 496, 491, 492, and other select work-related conditions; ICD-10 was used beginning 10-1-2015
- Workers' Compensation Agency
- Poison Control Center data for work-related poisonings
- Reports from Co-Workers or MIOSHA Field Staff confirmed by a health care provider
- Death Certificates for ICD-10 Cause of Death (COD) or contributing COD J61, J62.8, J63, J64, J65, J67; if Underlying COD J45, J68
- 3rd Judicial Circuit State Court of Michigan for asbestos-related disease
- Mine Safety and Health Administration
- Michigan Cancer Registry for mesothelioma
- Clinical Laboratories for blood lead analyses, and specific IgE allergy testing

OD reports are used to direct surveillance, intervention and prevention activities. The computerized OD report information includes: 1) employee name, age, sex, race, zip code and optional partial social security number; 2) employer name, worksite address, city, zip code, number of persons employed at the facility and an assigned North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code; 3) details of the illness, diagnosis date, suspected causative agent(s), vital status, and assigned ICD-9 code (ICD-10 beginning 10-1-2015); and 4) information about the report submitter, including whether they are employed by the company, an outside medical department contracted by the company, or a private practice health professional.

More than one report on a given individual with different work-related diseases may be submitted to LARA within a given year and across multiple years. If several reports are submitted for acute illnesses for a single individual, all of the reports are included in our statistics. In contrast, if more than one report is submitted in a given year for a chronic disease in a single individual, only one of the submissions is included in our statistics. If multiple reports are submitted over several years on that individual's chronic disease, only the earliest report is included in our statistics (see list below for chronic diseases).

	CHRONIC OCCUPATIONAL DISEASES COUNTED ONLY ONCE			
	ICD-10	ICD-9	DESCRIPTION	
	A15.0	011	Pulmonary TB	
	A18.0	015	TB of Bones & Joints	
The 2014 Annual	D86	135	Sarcoidosis	
Report on Silicosis &	<b>B</b> 90	137	TB, Late Effects of	
Other Work-Related	C00-D49	140-239	Cancer	
	E20-E35	250-259	Diseases of Other Endocrine Glands	
Lung Disease contains	E50-E64	260-269	Nutritional Deficiencies	
information on	E70-E88	270-279	Metabolic & Immunity Disorders except E86.0 [276], Dehydration	
Asbestos-related lung	D50-D89	280-289	Diseases of the Blood & Blood Forming Agents	
disease that was	F01-F99	290-319	Mental Disorders except F43 [308 (Acute Reaction to Stress) & 309 (Adjustment	
formarly in the OD			Reaction)]	
	G00-G99	320-340	Select Diseases of the Nervous System & Sense Organs	
Annual Reports. The	H90-H91	388-389	Noise-Induced Hearing Loss, Tinnitus	
report can be found	I00-I99	390-409	Select Diseases of the Circulatory System	
at:	J40-J47,	491-505	Select Diseases of the Respiratory System, Pleural Plaques w/no Parenchy-	
	J60-J70	& 509	mal Abnormality on ILO form	
www.oem.msu.euu	J80-J84	515	Interstitial Lung Disease, Pulmonary Fibrosis	
	L94.9	517	Connective Tissue Lung Disease	
	K00-K95	520-579	Diseases of the Digestive System	

### N00-N99 580-629 Diseases of the Genitourinary System

# RESULTS

A total of 7,472 occupational disease reports were submitted to LARA in calendar year 2015. Figure 2 shows the number of reports received each year since 1985.

### **Reporting Source**

Company or contract medical departments submitted 55% of the reports (4,104 cases); non-company associated health care practitioners submitted 45% of the reports (3,368 cases). Figure 3 shows the trends by reporting source (company or non-company associated) since 1991.

### **Company Size**

A little over half of the reports were submitted on individuals who worked in large companies (Table 1) with 54% of the 4,731 reports that listed company size coming from businesses with > 500 employees.

A greater proportion of reports for companies with 500 or fewer employees come from noncompany health practitioners. About 76% of the 997 reports with known company size that were submitted by non-company practitioners involved companies with < 500 employees, while about 38% of the 3,734 reports with known company size submitted by company practitioners involved facilities with < 500 employees.

### Non-Company Clinicians

One hundred fifty non-companyassociated clinicians reported 349 incidents of occupational disease. Thirty labs were responsible for



### Figure 2 OD Reports to LARA by Year Reported: 1985-2015

### Figure 3 OD Reports by Year and Reporting Source: 1991-2015



identifying 1,802 reports of lead poisoning. In addition, the Michigan Poison Control Center reported 839 incidents of work-related poisonings, the 3rd Circuit Court of Michigan reported 251 asbestosrelated claims, hospitals reported 115 patients with work-related conditions and two labs reported 11 latex allergies. One hundred thirtyseven (91%) of the clinicians reported only one patient each in calendar year 2015 (Table 2); one clinician reported 75 patients; this clinician is certified to interpret chest x-rays for dust-related lung disease ("B" reader). A "B" reader is a licensed physician who has passed a test on interpreting chest x-rays for pneumoconiosis and maintains certification by passing an additional test every four years. In 2015, there were six Michigan physicians who were listed as a "B" reader on the NIOSH "B" reader website.

### **Occupational Health Clinics**

There are approximately 187 occupational health clinics in Michigan. From June 2005 to 2009, the number of such clinics reporting occupational disease cases to the State increased from 21 to 56. In 2010, the number of reporting clinics dropped to 44, in 2011 increased to 64, in 2012 decreased to 61, in 2013 increased to 66, in 2014 decreased to 46 and in 2015 decreased to 39. Biennial audits of a sample of nonreporting clinics began in 2009.

### **Demographics**

Table 3 shows the age, gender and race distribution of the workers with occupational diseases reported in the year 2015. The mean age of

Table 1
Company Size at Facilities with an OD Report in 2015:
Non-Company v Company Clinicians

		REPORTING SOURCE						
Number of	Non-Company Company			bany Company Total		tal		
Employees	Clinicians		inicians Clinicians Report			orts		
	#	%	#	%	#	%		
< 25	161	16.1	321	8.6	482	10.2		
25-100	269	27.0	441	11.8	710	15.0		
100-500	325	32.6	639	17.1	964	20.4		
> 500	242	24.3	2,333	62.5	2,575	54.4		
Total	997a	100.0	3,734 <sup>b</sup>	100.0	4,731	100.0		
The number of on	anlowood w	ne missino	ran 2 371 rc	norte				

<sup>a</sup> The number of employees was missing on 2,371 reports.

<sup>b</sup> The number of employees was missing on 370 reports.

### Table 2 OD Reports Submitted by Non-Company Clinicians in 2015

Number of	Clini	Patients					
Reports	#	%	#				
1	137	91.3	137				
2-5	5	3.3	16				
6-10	1	0.7	10				
11-20	3	2.0	42				
>20	4	2.7	144				
Total <sup>a</sup>	150	100.0	349				
<sup>a</sup> Includes reports only from individual clinicians.							

reported patients was  $46 \pm 15$  years (range, 16 to 104 years) with approximately two-thirds of the patients (63%) between the ages of 25 and 54 years. Seventy reports were submitted for patients age 19 or younger, and 95 reports were submitted for patients age 80 and older.

Sixty-nine percent of all reports submitted were for male workers. Ninety -five percent of the submitted reports (7,075 cases) did not indicate the worker's race. Of the 397 reports that did indicate race, 78% were Caucasian, 18% were African American and 3% were Hispanic.

### Table 3 Demographic Characteristics of Occupational Disease Cases Reported in 2015

Demographic Characteristic					
Age	#	%			
<u>&lt; 19</u>	70	1.3			
20-24	354	6.4			
25-29	495	8.9			
30-34	559	10.1			
35-39	582	10.5			
40-44	581	10.4			
45-49	644	11.6			
50-54	617	11.1			
55-59	644	11.6			
60-69	691	12.4			
70-79	228	4.1			
> 80	95	1.7			
Totalª	5,560	100.1ь			
Gender	#	%			
Male	5,163	69.3			
Female	2,291	30.7			
Total <sup>c</sup>	7,454	100.0			
Race	#	%			
Caucasian	311	78.3			
African American	73	18.4			
Hispanic	13	3.3			
Total <sup>d</sup>	397	100.0			
<sup>a</sup> Age was unknown for 1,912 reports. Mean age 46 <u>+</u> 15 yrs. <sup>b</sup> Percent does not add to 100 due to rounding. <sup>c</sup> Gender was unknown for 18 reports. <sup>d</sup> Race was unknown for 7,075 reports.					

### Younger Workers

Of the 47 workers *age 18 and younger*, seven were 16 years old, 15 were 17 years of age, and 25 were 18 years old. Eleven (23%) of the reported patients age 18 and younger were female and 36 (77%) were male. Place of employment was unknown for all of the 47 younger workers.

All of the younger workers were reported by private practice clinicians not associated with any company. Thirty-two were reported by the Poison Control Center, three were for respiratory symptoms, 12 were for an elevated blood lead level (serum lead levels were between five and 27 micrograms per deciliter). No workrelated *fatal illnesses* for workers age 18 or younger were identified in 2015.

### **Older Workers**

Of the 95 workers age eighty and older, 91 (96%) were between the ages of 80 and 89, and four (4%) were between 90 and 104 years of age. Seventy-nine were men and 16 were women. Twenty-nine of the older patients worked in or were retired from manufacturing, two worked in construction, and one worked in the health care industry. Industry or former industry was not indicated in 63 reports.

Private practice clinicians not associated with any company reported 93 of the 95 patients. Forty-nine of the older workers were reported for dust-related lung disease (including 11 with lung cancer, 20 with asbestosis, 15 with silicosis and three with pleural thickening), 42 for elevated blood lead levels (serum lead levels were between 5 and 19 micrograms per deciliter), and one each for other respiratory illness, elbow sprain, back sprain and dermatitis.

### **Illness Information**

Table 4 shows the distribution of diagnoses or clinical impressions by reporting source. Diagnoses were grouped by major International Classification of Diseases categories (ICD-9th Revision). Overall, repetitive trauma conditions (sprains and strains) were the most frequently reported conditions, with 2,796 cases representing 37% of all OD reports submitted.

Toxic effects of substances (poisoning) were the second most frequently reported, with 1,826 (24%) cases. Symptoms, signs and ill-defined conditions were the third most frequently reported conditions with 839 (11%) cases, followed by diseases of the respiratory system which were the fourth most frequently reported conditions, with 601 (8%) cases. Nervous system and sense organ diseases were reported for 583 cases representing 8% of all reports submitted. There were 408 (6%) musculoskeletal disease reports, 185 (3%) reports of skin diseases, 85 (1%) burns to the eye, 64 (1%) reports of cancers, and 58 (1%) reports of mental disorders (stress-related illnesses). Less frequently reported conditions included diseases of the digestive system, infectious and parasitic diseases, and diseases of the blood and blood forming

Table 4				
2015 OD Reports by	y Disease	Type and	Reporting	Source

	Non-C	ompany	Com	pany	Тс	otal
DISEASE TYPE	#	%	#	%	#	%
Infectious & Parasitic Diseases (ICD 001-139)	0		10	0.2	10	0.1
Neoplasms (ICD 140-239)	63	1.9	1	< 0.1	64	0.9
Metabolic Disorders (ICD 270-279)	0		0		0	
Blood and Blood Forming Organs (ICD 280-289)	0		0		0	
Mental Disorders (ICD 290-319)	0		58	1.4	58	0.8
Nervous System & Sense Organs (ICD 320-389)	27	0.8	556	13.5	583	7.8
Circulatory System (ICD 390-459)	0		2	< 0.1	2	< 0.1
Respiratory System (ICD 460-519)	523	15.5	78	1.9	601	8.0
Digestive System (ICD 520-579)	0		14	0.3	14	0.2
Genitourinary System (ICD 580-629)	1	< 0.1	0		1	< 0.1
Skin & Subcutaneous Tissue (ICD 680-709)	39	1.2	146	3.6	185	2.5
Musculoskeletal System & Connective Tissue (ICD 710-739)	7	0.2	401	9.8	408	5.5
Symptoms, Signs & Ill-Defined Conditions (ICD 780-799)	686	20.4	153	3.7	839	11.2
Repetitive Trauma: Sprains & Strains (ICD 800-999 except ICD 940 & ICD 980-989)	142	4.2	2,654	64.7	2,796	37.4
Burn Confined to Eye (ICD 940)	72	2.1	13	0.3	85	1.1
Toxic Effects of Substances - Poisonings (ICD 980-989)	1,808	53.7	18	0.4	1,826	24.4
TOTAL	3,368	100.0	4,104	99.8ª	7,472	99.9ª
<sup>a</sup> Percent does not add to 100 due to rounding.						

organs.

### **Reporting Source Differences**

Company and non-company-affiliated providers differed markedly in the types of occupational diseases reported (Table 4). Sixty-five percent of reports from company health care providers were of repetitive trauma illnesses, while four percent of reports by non-company providers represented these diagnoses. Conversely, 54% of noncompany reports were of toxic effects of substances (poisonings), compared to less than one percent of company submissions. The second, third and fourth most frequently reported diagnoses for company providers were nervous system and sense organs (14%), musculoskeletal diseases (10%), and symptoms, signs and illdefined conditions (4%). Symptoms, signs and illdefined conditions were the second most frequently reported diagnoses by non-company providers (20%). The third and fourth most frequently reported diagnoses for non-company providers were respiratory system (16%) and repetitive trauma conditions (4%).

Company and non-company practitioners differed by industries represented in their reports (Table 5). Company-affiliated providers and non-company-affiliated physicians reported high percentages of patients employed in manufacturing (66% and 43%, respectively), primarily automobile production. The second and third most frequently reported industries by company providers were healthcare and social assistance (5%) and retail trade (5%). The second and third industry types most frequently reported by non-company providers were construction (30%), and utilities (9%). Industry type was missing on 2,249 non-company and 77 company reports.

### Gender Differences

Repetitive trauma was the most frequently reported diagnosis for women, with 51% of submissions (Table 6). The second, third and fourth most frequent diagnoses for women were signs, symptoms and ill-defined conditions (14%), poisonings (11%) and musculoskeletal diseases (8%). For men, repetitive trauma conditions were the most frequently reported diagnoses (32%), followed by poisonings (31%), symptoms, signs and ill-defined conditions (10%) and diseases of the nervous system and sense organs and respiratory diseases (9% each). Eighteen reports did not indicate gender.

Table 5							
	2015 OD Reports by Indust	try Ty	be and	Kepor	ting So	ource	
		N	on -				
	2007 North American Industry	Company		Com	ipany	Тс	otal
	Classification System	#	%	#	%	#	%
11	Ag, Forestry Fishing & Hunting	1	0.1	6	0.1	7	0.1
21	Mining	2	0.2	9	0.2	11	0.2
22	Utilities	105	9.4	21	0.5	126	2.4
23	Construction	334	29.8	103	2.6	437	8.5
31-33	Manufacturing	483	43.2	2,666	66.2	3,149	61.2
42	Wholesale Trade	32	2.9	70	1.7	102	2.0
44-45	Retail Trade	10	0.9	200	5.0	210	4.1
48-49	Transportation & Warehousing	12	1.1	126	3.1	138	2.7
51	Information	1	0.1	18	0.4	19	0.4
52	Finance & Insurance	0		9	0.2	9	0.2
53	Real Estate & Rental & Leasing	0		35	0.9	35	0.7
54	Professional, Scientific & Tech Svcs	17	1.5	45	1.1	62	1.2
55	Mgt of Companies & Enterprises	0		0	_	0	—
56	Administrative & Support & Waste Mgt & Remediation Svcs	12	1.1	170	4.2	182	3.5
61	Educational Services	7	0.6	114	2.8	121	2.4
62	Health Care & Social Assistance	18	1.6	203	5.0	221	4.3
71	Arts, Entertainment & Recreation	16	1.4	6	0.1	22	0.4
72	Accommodation & Food Services	10	0.9	80	2.0	90	1.7
81	Other Services (excl Public Admin)	9	0.8	43	1.1	52	1.0
92	Public Administration	50	4.5	103	2.6	153	3.0
	Total <sup>a</sup>	1,119	100.1 <sup>b</sup>	4,027	99.8 <sup>b</sup>	5,146	100.0
aIndus	try was unknown for 2,249 non-compa	ny repoi	ts and 77	compar	iy report	s.	
<sup>b</sup> Perce	<sup>b</sup> Percent does not add to 100 due to rounding.						

### **Fatalities**

Fatalities related to occupational illnesses were reported for 53 workers (Table 7). None of the illness-related fatalities reported were from acute incidents. Noncompany clinicians reported all of the 53 fatalities. The workers who died ranged in age from 43 to 90 years. Thirty-eight died from asbestos-related cancer, 14 from asbestosis, and one from another lung disease. Twentyeight of the deceased workers had been employed in manufacturing and seven in utilities. Former occupation was not specified for 18 workers.

Michigan has a separate program to track acute traumatic fatalities, called MIFACE (Michigan Fatality Assessment and Control Evaluation). The MIFACE program identified an additional 140 traumatic workrelated fatalities from injuries in 2015 that occurred in Michigan (provisional data). A separate report for the most recent workrelated fatalities (2014 calendar can be found year) at: www.oem.msu.edu. There were three acute work-related injuries resulting in deaths among youths in the MIFACE Program in 2015.



# Table 62015 OD Reports by Disease Type and Gender

	Μ	ales	Fen	nales
DISEASE TYPE	#	%	#	%
Infectious & Parasitic Diseases (ICD 001-139)	0	_	10	0.4
Neoplasms (ICD 140-239)	64	1.2	0	
Metabolic Disorders (ICD 270-279)	0	_	0	_
Blood and Blood Forming Organs (ICD 280-289)	0		0	_
Mental Disorders (ICD 290-319)	31	0.6	25	1.1
Nervous System & Sense Organs (ICD 320-389)	476	9.2	107	4.7
Circulatory System (ICD 390-459)	2	< 0.1	0	
Respiratory System (ICD 460-519)	476	9.2	125	5.5
Digestive System (ICD 520-579)	14	0.3	0	_
Genitourinary System (ICD 580-629)	0		1	< 0.1
Skin & Subcutaneous Tissue (ICD 680-709)	127	2.5	58	2.5
Musculoskeletal System & Conn Tissue (ICD 710-739)	216	4.2	192	8.4
Symptoms, Signs & Ill-Defined Conditions (ICD 780-799)	493	9.5	330	14.4
Repetitive Trauma: Sprains & Strains (ICD 800-999 except ICD 940 & ICD 980-989)	1,634	31.6	1,162	50.7
Burn Confined to Eye (ICD 940)	52	1.0	33	1.4
Toxic Effects of Substances (ICD 980-989)	1,578	30.6	248	10.8
TOTAL <sup>a</sup>	5,163	99.9 <sup>b</sup>	2,291	99.9 <sup>b</sup>
<sup>a</sup> Gender was not listed for 18 individuals. <sup>b</sup> Percent does not add to 100 due to rounding.				

### Comparison with Other Data Systems

No one reporting system captures the true burden of occupational disease. The following section looks at other reporting systems and the contribution each makes to the overall characterization of work-related illness in our state.

### Published Aggregate Data in MI

Table 8 compares data from the OD reporting system with Workers' Compensation Agency claims and the BLS Annual Survey. These data illustrate the variation of reported disease categories by reporting source and suggest that the magnitude of occupational diseases among

### Table 7 Demographic Characteristics of Reported Occupational Disease Fatalities in 2015

DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTIC						
Vital Status	#	%				
Fatal	53	0.7				
Non-Fatal	7,419	99.3				
Total	7,472	100.0				
Age	#	0/				
40 - 49	1	1.9				
50 - 59	2	3.8				
60 - 69	13	24.5				
70 - 79	26	49.1				
$\geq 80$	11	20.8				
Total	53	<b>100.1</b> <sup>a</sup>				
Disease Type	#	%				
Neoplasm-lung	38	71.7				
Asbestos-related	14	26.4				
Other-lung	1	1.9				
Total	53	100.0				
Industry	#	%				
Manufacturing	28	80.0				
Utilities	7	20.0				
Total	35 <sup>b</sup>	100.0				
<sup>a</sup> Percent does not add to 100 d <sup>b</sup> Industry was missing on 18 re	ue to rounding. ports.					

Michigan workers is greater than what currently gets reported.

The most quoted data source on occupational injuries and illnesses available in Michigan comes from the BLS Annual Survey of company injury and illness logs. In 2014, there were a total of 117,400 injuries and illnesses of which 59,700 were severe enough to cause loss of work days, job transfer or restriction. Of the 117,400 total, 6,000 were occupational illnesses and 111,400 were occupational injuries.

Data from Michigan's Workers' Compensation Agency (WCA) for 2015 showed 21,466 claims for occupational injuries and illnesses with seven or more consecutive days away from work; 13,997 of those claims are for illnesses (Table 8). Overall in 2015, about \$526 million in compensation was paid by insurance companies and self-insured employers on 185,802 claims for both lost work time and medical-only costs. These claims include new claims filed in 2015, as well as previous claims for workers who continue to lose work time or incur medical costs due to their injury or illness. Sixty-nine percent of the total paid claims in 2015 were for medical procedures or care only and 31% for wage loss (http://www.michigan.gov/documents/wca/wca\_2015\_Annual\_Report\_519706\_7.pdf).

### Other Sources-Hospital Discharge Data

The hospital discharge data described in this next section is not part of the 7,472 occupational disease reports described in the 2015 Annual Report. Hospital discharge data does not include identifiers; presumably some of the patients overlap with those in the 7,472 OD reports. However, especially for long latency, chronic diseases like asbestosis, it would be difficult to identify newly diagnosed patients. Therefore, the hospitalization data in this section should be considered as supplemental to the 7,472 OD reports submitted to the state in 2015. The most recent data available from the MHA is for calendar year 2014. The following section looks at hospital data where Workers' Compensation is the expected payer.

If the source of payment changed after the patient was treated and discharged from the hospital, such as might occur in a disputed workers' compensation case, it is likely that this change would not be captured in the MHA data reported in this section. Figure 4 shows the number of patients, as well as hospitalizations, with Workers' Compensation (WC) insurance designated as the primary payment source at discharge for the years 1992 through 2014; the numbers of hospitalizations from 1995 -2014 decreased compared to the years 1992-1994. In addition,

Table 8	
Comparison of 2014 Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) Occupational Illness Survey Data	
and 2015 LARA Workers' Compensation Agency (WCA) Claims	
with 2008—2015 LARA Occupational Disease (OD) Reports	

	Disease Category														
	Sk	in	Lur Di	ng— ust	Lur To	ng— xic	Poise	oning	Phys Age	sical ents	Repea Trau	Repeated Trauma		All Other	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
BLS Survey															
Year	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2014	900	15.0	ND		700	11.7	NS		ND		ND		4,400	73.3	6,000
WCA	WCA Claims														
Year	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2015	38	0.3	1	< 0.1	53	0.4	5	< 0.1	36	0.3	10,749	80.2	2,515	18.8	13,397
LARA	LARA OD Reports														
Year	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
2008	196	2.9	905	13.2	600	8.7	1,811	26.4	13	0.2	2,305	33.5	1,042	15.2	6,872
2009	258	4.1	321	5.1	372	5.9	1,782	28.1	176	2.8	1,892	29.8	1,544	24.3	6,345
2010	263	3.5	440	5.9	841	11.3	1,750	23.5	190	2.5	2,394	32.1	1,573	21.1	7,451
2011	499	4.9	459	4.5	634	6.3	1,716	17.0	237	2.3	3,974	39.3	2,589	25.6	10,108
2012	378	5.0	328	4.3	419	5.5	1,442	18.9	46	0.6	2,892	38.0	2,106	27.7	7,611
2013	347	4.0	274	3.2	439	5.1	2,192	25.5	45	0.5	3,263	37.9	2,041	23.7	8,601
2014	338	4.5	371	4.9	458	6.1	1,808	23.9	181	2.4	2,547	33.7	1,863	24.6	7,566
2015	185	2.8	340	5.1	261	3.9	1,826	27.6	99	1.5	2,307	34.9	1,598	24.2	6,616
ND =	There w	as no da	ta for th	is diseas	e catego	ry. NS	= Data to	o small to	be disp	olayed.					

the percentage of hospitalizations with WC insurance designated as the primary payment source at discharge decreased beginning in 1993 (Figure 5). For both these parameters, there was a plateau in the decrease from 2004 to 2008. However, there was also a decrease in 2009-2014 in both these parameters. In 2009, 0.30% of the 1,305,935 Michigan hospitalizations designated WC insurance as the primary payment source at discharge; in 2014 0.23% of the 1,227,988 Michigan hospitalizations designated WC insurance as the primary payment source at discharge.

Table 9 shows the primary discharge diagnosis for hospitalizations from 2002 to 2014 where WC insurance was designated as the primary payment source at discharge. WC insurance covers a broad range of conditions, including mental illness, infections, heart disease and cancer. The most common two hospitalized conditions covered by WC insurance were injuries and poisoning accounting for 49%, and musculoskeletal diseases, accounting for 24% of all WC- related patient hospitalizations.

Table 10 lists the demographics of patients with WC insurance as the primary payment source at discharge. From 70-76% of the hospitalizations were for men, across all years from 2002 to 2014. Among hospitalizations for which race was known, approximately 85-90% were white, 7-11% were African American, <1% were Asian, and 2-5% were listed as "other."

Most hospitalizations involved workers between the ages of 40 and 59 years. Less than 1% involved workers under the age of 15. The percentage of workers 80 years or older has ranged over time from <1-4%. The percentage of hospitalizations of workers under the age of 20 has decreased slightly over time, from 3% in 1992 to 1% in 2014 (1992 data not shown).

### Special Project—Use of Hospital Discharge Data to Evaluate Work-Related Severe and Minor Hospitalized Traumatic Injuries

The Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE), in an effort to provide a standardized way for states to monitor and evaluate trends in work-related injuries because "acute work-related trauma is a leading cause of death and disability for U.S. workers," developed a set of criteria to analyze state hospital discharge data. Details can be found on the CSTE website at www.cste.org, referencing Indicator #22: Work-Related Severe Traumatic Injury Hospitalizations.

Data from the Michigan Health and Hospital Association inpatient databases for calendar years 2006 through 2014 were analyzed. All inpatient hospitalizations for Michigan residents where the primary insurance was listed as workers' compensation, who were ages 16 years and older and whose primary discharge diagnosis was an injury with ICD-9 codes 800—959.9 were identified. Cases were then classified into one of two groups: severe and minor. Severe injuries were defined as having an Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS) code of 3 or greater (http:// www.aaam.org/about-ais.html). Annual crude rates of injury were calculated using the Bureau of Labor and Statistics (BLS) Geographic Profiles of Employment and Unemployment (www. bls.gov/gps).

There were a total of 11,439 inpatient hospitalized injuries with workers' compensation as the primary payer for workers age 16 years and older in Michigan from 2006-2014. Of those, 4,346 (38%) were classified as severe and 7,093 (62%) were classified as minor injuries. Figure 6 shows the annual crude rate of work-related inpatient hospitalizations for severe and minor injuries per 100,000 workers from 2006 through 2014. There was an overall decrease in the rate of minor injury hospitalizations, from a high of 19.69 minor injuries per 100,000 in 2006 to a low of 13.75 in 2014. The crude rate of severe work-related hospitalized injuries remained relatively unchanged throughout that same time period, from 2006 to 2014, with 10.77 severe injuries per 100,000 in 2006 and 10.50 in 2014. The overall decrease in workrelated hospitalizations (Figures 4 and 5) is secondary to the decrease in minor injuries, while the more severe injury rates remain unchanged over time.

Tables 11 and 12 show the distribution and rate for severe (Table 11) and minor (Table 12) work-related hospitalized injuries, by race, gender, and age groups. For both severe and minor injury hospitalizations, black workers had lower rates than white workers, although neither difference was statistically significant. Rates for females were statistical-

ly significantly lower than males for both the severe and minor injury hospitalizations. Female workers were 71% and 64% less likely to be hospitalized for a severe or minor injury, respectively, compared to male workers. Overall for both severe and minor injuries, the rates of hospitalization increased with increasing age. Among the severe group, these increased rates were statistically significantly higher for the age categories 45 years and older, compared to the youngest hospitalized workers. Among the minor injury hospitalizations, the increased rates were statistically significantly higher across all age groups when compared to the youngest workers.

Figure 7 shows the distribution of the type of severe hospitalizations. Fractures accounted for 2,862 (66%) of all the severe injuries, followed by crushing or internal injuries with 1,011 (23%), intracranial injuries with 408 (9%), spinal cord injuries with 41 (1%) and open wounds accounting for 24 (1%) of the severe work-related hospitalized injuries from 2006-2014. Figure 8 shows the annual crude rate of workrelated hospitalized injuries for severe and minor traumatic injuries per 100,000 workers by year and gender. Males had higher rates of hospitalization than females regardless of severity.

Figure 9 shows the annual crude rate of work-related hospitalized traumatic injuries by year and race. The crude rate for minor injuries among blacks showed a steep decrease beginning in 2011. Figures 10 and 11 show the annual crude rates of work-related hospitalizations severe (Figure 11) and minor (Figure 12) traumatic injuries by age.

For 2006 and 2014 we also evaluated



The number of hospitalizations and patients with Workers' Compensation as the primary source of payment in Michigan has steadily declined over time.

Year Hospitalized

Figure 5 Percent of Total Michigan Hospitalizations with Workers' Compensation Designated as the Primary Payment Source at Discharge in Michigan: 1992-2014



In calendar year 2014, there were 1,227,988 hospitalizations in Michigan. Of those, only 0.23% were paid for by Workers' Compensation. The percent of hospitalizations paid for by Workers' Compensation in Michigan has steadily declined over time.

Year Hospitalized

# Table 9 Primary Diagnosis of Hospitalizations in Michigan from 2002-2014, with Workers' Compensa-<br/>tion Designated as Primary Payment Source at Discharge

	Year of Hospitalization												
	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
1º Discharge Diagnosis <sup>a</sup>	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Infectious Diseases (001-139)	0.1	0.4	0.4	0.7	1.3	0.8	1.3	1.6	1.2	1.5	1.7	2.2	2.6
Neoplasms (140-239)	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1
Endocrine Diseases (240-279)	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6
Blood Diseases (280-289)	< 0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	< 0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2
Mental Disorders (290-319)	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	1.0	0.6	1.0	0.9
Nervous System Diseases (320-389)	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.1	1.4	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.8	2.1	2.1	1.9
Circulatory Diseases (390-459)	2.1	2.6	2.9	4.0	3.8	4.6	4.1	4.7	4.8	4.7	3.9	3.1	4.0
Respiratory Diseases (460-519)	1.4	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.1	2.2	2.6	2.5	2.8	1.9	2.4	1.8	2.1
Digestive Diseases (520-579)	1.7	1.8	2.0	2.5	1.9	1.8	2.3	2.5	2.6	1.9	2.0	1.8	1.9
Genitourinary Diseases (580-629)	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.3	0.9	0.8	1.0
Pregnancy Complications (630-676)	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.4
Skin Diseases (680-709)	3.2	3.5	3.3	3.6	4.7	4.7	3.8	4.0	4.3	5.2	5.6	5.0	5.0
Musculoskeletal Diseases (710-739)	43.9	39.3	38.5	34.2	36.9	33.1	32.2	31.8	29.8	28.7	28.5	24.7	23.6
Congenital Anomalies (740-759)	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1
Perinatal Complications (760-779)						< 0.1							
Symptoms & Signs (780-799)	1.2	1.7	1.5	1.8	2.3	1.7	1.9	1.4	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.3	1.4
Injury & Poisoning (800-999)	40.1	40.6	41.1	42.4	38.8	42.3	41.8	42.4	43.1	44.2	44.0	48.9	48.5
V Codes	2.2	4.7	4.6	5.5	4.1	3.9	4.6	3.8	4.3	4.6	5.3	5.9	5.8
Total <sup>b</sup>	4809	5160	4760	4996	4825	4578	4611	3906	3688	3589	3333	3127	2823
<sup>a</sup> International Classification of Diseas	es-9th Re	evision											

<sup>b</sup>Totals vary due to missing information.

### Table 10 Demographics of Hospitalizations in Michigan from 2002-2014, with Workers' Compensation Designated as Primary Payment Source at Discharge

	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Gender	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Male	76	74	76	75	73	73	70	71	73	74	75	75	76
Female	24	26	24	25	27	27	30	29	27	26	25	25	24
Total <sup>a</sup> #	4809	4635	4760	4996	4825	4578	4611	3906	3688	3589	3333	3127	2823
Race	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
White	86	85	86	87	87	87	90	89	90	89	87	88	87
African Am	9	11	10	9	9	9	8	9	7	8	9	9	8
Asian	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	1
Other	5	4	3	3	4	4	2	2	2	3	4	3	4
Total <sup>a</sup> #	3123	3046	3172	3465	3261	3233	3255	2761	2671	2659	2557	2532	2286
Age	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
< 15	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1			<1	<1	<1	<1	<1
15-19	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
20-29	11	12	11	11	11	11	10	9	9	10	10	11	11
30-39	25	24	22	20	19	18	17	17	18	17	15	14	16
40-59	54	53	55	53	52	54	54	55	53	53	55	54	54
60-79	8	10	10	11	12	12	14	14	16	15	17	18	17
<u>&gt;</u> 80	<1	<1	1	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	1	1	1
Total <sup>a</sup> #	4792	4635	4760	4986	4825	4578	4602	3902	3688	3589	3333	3127	2823
Avg Age	43	44	44	46	47	47	48	49	48	48	48	48	47
SD-Age	<u>+</u> 12	<u>+</u> 13	<u>+</u> 12	<u>+</u> 15	<u>+</u> 14	<u>+</u> 15	<u>+</u> 13	<u>+</u> 14	<u>+</u> 14				
<sup>a</sup> Totals vary due to	<sup>a</sup> Totals vary due to missing information.												

the E-codes used for cause of injury, for both severe and minor work-related hospitalizations. In 2006, E-codes were available for 68% of the severe and minor injuries. The most commonly reported causes for both severe and minor injuries, respectively, included: falls (46% and 40%), motor vehicle crashes (14% and 8%), machinery (14% and 15%), and struck by incidents (15% and 9%). In 2014, the use of E-codes was unchanged; accounting for 65% of severe and 63% of minor hospitalized injuries. The most commonly reported causes for severe and minor injuries, respectively, were: falls (50% and 45%), motor vehicle crashes (20% and 10%), machinery (13% and 15%), and struck by (9% and 7%).

### Figure 6 Annual Crude Rate of Work-Related Inpatient Hospitalizations for Severe and Minor Traumatic Injuries per 100,000 persons age 16 years and older,

Michigan, 2006-2014



Table 11 Distribution and Rate of Work-Related Inpatient Hospitalizations for Severe Traumatic Injuries per 100,000 persons age 16 years and older, by Race, Sex and Age, Michigan, 2006-2014

	Number	Percent	Rate per 100,000 workers	RR (95% CI)
Race*				
White	2643	88.6	7.95	Reference
Black	242	8.1	5.62	0.71 (0.48,1.05)
Sex				
Male	3445	79.3	16.65	Reference
Female	901	20.7	4.78	0.29 (0.23,0.36)
Age (years)				
16-24	380	8.7	6.93	Reference
25-34	658	15.1	8.52	1.23 (0.84,1.80)
35-44	799	18.4	8.92	1.29 (0.89,1.86)
45-54	1102	25.4	11.31	1.63 (1.15,2.32)
55-64	991	22.8	16.58	2.39 (1.17,3.41)
65+	416	9.6	24.98	3.60 (2.37,5.47)
*Race was unknow	wn for 1362 ind	ividuals and y	was not included in the calcu	lation of percentages

\*Race was unknown for 1362 individuals and was not included in the calculation of percentages. Other races n=99 (included in the calculation of percentages).

### Table 12

Distribution and Rate of Work-Related Inpatient Hospitalizations for Minor Traumatic Injuries per 100,000 persons age 16 years and older, by Race, Sex and Age, Michigan, 2006-2014

	Number	Percent	Rate per 100,000 workers	RR (95% CI)
Race*				
White	4321	85.8	13.0	Reference
Black	522	10.4	12.13	0.93 (0.71,1.23)
Sex				
Male	5338	75.3	25.8	Reference
Female	1755	24.7	9.31	0.36 (0.31,0.42)
Age (years)				
16-24	636	9.0	11.6	Reference
25-34	1225	17.3	15.86	1.37 (1.03,1.82)
35-44	1534	21.6	17.13	1.48 (1.12,1.95)
45-54	1980	27.9	20.33	1.75 (1.34,2.29)
55-64	1373	19.4	22.97	1.98 (1.49,2.63)
65+	345	4.9	20.72	1.79 (1.21,2.65)

\*Race was unknown for 2055 individuals and was not included in the calculation of percentage Other races n=195 (included in the calculation of percentages).

Figure 7 Work-Related Inpatient Hospitalizations by Severe Traumatic Injury Type for persons age 16 years and older,







Figure 9

Annual Crude Rate of Work-Related Inpatient Hospitalizations for Severe and Minor Traumatic Injuries per 100,000 persons age 16 years and older, by Race, Michigan, 2006-2014







Figure 11 Annual Crude Rate of Work-Related Inpatient Hospitalizations for Minor Traumatic Injuries per 100,000 persons age 16 years and older, by Age Groups, Michigan, 2006-2014



### Poison Control Center Data

In 2015, 839 calls to the Michigan Poison Control Center (PCC) were identified for individuals with work-related symptoms. Table 13 describes available demographic characteristics and disease categories of the individuals reported. There were more reports for males (61%). The individuals ranged in age from 16 to 75 years. Eighty-three percent of these individuals with known age were less than age 50. Of the 839 calls to the PCC in 2015, the top calls included: 229 (27%) for skin rash and burns, 135 (16%) for general symptoms, 105 (13%) for head and neck symptoms, and 104 (12%) for nausea and vomiting.

# Adult Blood Lead Epidemiology and Surveillance (ABLES)

In 2015, there were 14,308 adult Michigan residents reported by labs as having their blood tested for lead. Table 14 describes the demographic characteristics of the 1,802 individuals reported with a blood lead level of 5 ug/dL and above. Most individuals were males between the ages of 30 and 59. Construction and manufacturing were the most frequently reported industries of lead exposure. A comprehensive report on all blood lead levels in Michigan can be found at: <u>www.oem.msu.edu</u>, the 2014 Annual Report on Blood Lead Levels on Adults in Michigan.

### Table 13 Demographic Characteristics of 839 Individuals Reported by the Michigan Poison Control Center in 2015

	1	
Age	#	%
15-19	46	6.2
20-29	279	37.7
30-39	169	22.8
40-49	121	16.4
50-59	97	13.1
60-69	27	3.6
<u>&gt;</u> 70	1	0.1
Total	740 <sup>a</sup>	99.9 <sup>b</sup>
Gender	#	%
Male	498	60.5
Female	325	39.5
Total	823°	100.0
Disease Type	#	%
Skin Rash and Burns	229	27.3
General Symptoms	135	16.1
Head and Neck Symptoms	105	12.5
Nausea & Vomiting	104	12.4
Respiratory Symptoms	74	8.8
Cardiovascular Symptoms	20	2.4
Allergic Reaction	3	0.4
Lead Poisoning	1	0.1
Ill-defined	168	20.0
	0.00	100.0

### Table 14 Demographic Characteristics of 1,802 Individuals Reported by Laboratories with Elevated Blood Lead in Michigan, 2015

	Blood Lead Level								
	>=5 & <	10 <i>u</i> g/dL	>=10 a	ug/dL					
Age	#	%	#	%					
16-19	10	0.9	5	0.7					
20-29	129	11.8	100	14.1					
30-39	232	21.2	201	28.3					
40-49	231	21.1	159	22.4					
50-59	231	21.1	146	20.6					
60-69	147	13.4	73	10.3					
$\geq$ 70	113	10.3	25	3.5					
Total	1,093	99.8ª	709	99.9ª					
Gender	#	%	#	%					
Male	897	82.1	666	93.9					
Female	196	17.9	43	6.1					
Total	1,093	100.0	709	100.0					
Industry	#	%	#	%					
Construction	148	43.8	167	41.6					
Manufacturing	80	23.7	141	35.2					
Utilities	46	13.6	36	9.0					
Trade	18	5.3	20	5.0					
Public Admin	24	7.1	8	2.0					
Arts & Entertainment	4	1.2	11	2.7					
Admin & Support	2	0.6	0						
Transportation	5	1.5	5	1.2					
Other Services	0		5	1.2					
Prof & Scientific	11	3.3	5	1.2					
Mining	0		0						
Health Care	0		3	0.7					
Real Estate	0		0						
Total	<b>338</b> b	100.1ª	<b>401</b> b	99.8ª					
<sup>a</sup> Percent does not add to 100 due to rounding. <sup>b</sup> Industry was missing on 755 reports of blood lead levels <10 ug/dL and on 308 reports of blood lead >=10ug/dL									

# DISCUSSION

There were 7,472 Occupational Disease Reports sent to LARA in calendar year 2015. These reports do not include occupational injuries. The most frequent types of occupational diseases reported to LARA were repetitive trauma illnesses (37%), toxic effects of substances (24%), signs and ill-defined conditions (11%) and respiratory diseases (8%). From 1988 through 1999, the number of reports sent to the State increased substantially. Figure 2 shows the number of occupational disease reports received each year since 1985. Since 1999, the number of reports had been decreasing, except for the increases in 2003, 2010, 2011 and 2013. There was a large decrease in the number of reports received in 2005, with over 2,200 fewer reports received than in 2004; in 2009 the total number of reports decreased by over 640 from 2008. In 2010, the number of reports increased to 7,952, an increase of over 1,000 reports since 2009, and in 2011 to 10,701, an increase of almost 4,000 reports, a decrease of 2,548 reports in 2012, an increase of 1,554 reports in 2013, a decrease of 1,395 reports in 2014, and a decrease of 756 reports in 2015.

The initial overall decline in the number of reports reflected fewer reports from company medical departments. The number of reports from non-company-affiliated practitioners remained relatively unchanged through 2004; however, from 2004 to 2009, there was a large decline of approximately 3,000 reports in the number of non-company-affiliated practitioner reports as compared to 2004 (Figure 3). The number of company-affiliated physicians or medical departments reporting decreased in 2015 to 100, compared to 190 in 2014, 210 in 2013, 179 in 2012, 188 in 2011, 185 in 2010, 194 in 2009, 449 in 2008, 426 in 2007, 396 in 2006, 374 in 2005, 373 in 2004 and 305 in 2003.

ICD-9 codes were used to classify the diagnosis or clinical impression recorded on the occupational disease reports submitted to LARA. Sprains and strains, except those involving the back, are considered by the federal and Michigan OSHA programs as illnesses secondary to cumulative trauma, and are therefore required to be reported even though in the ICD-9 coding system, sprains and strains are classified as injuries.

Many employers, physicians and other healthcare providers do not report patients with occupational diseases either because they are unaware of the reporting law or choose not to report for a different reason. Currently, reports are received from approximately 100 company-affiliated physicians reporting employees from 979 different companies; there were 150 noncompany-affiliated physicians reporting patients to the state. There were 241,852 companies in the year 2015 and 30,607 licensed physicians in Michigan in the year 2015. Accordingly, reports are received from 0.4% of companies and 0.5% of physicians. Over the last several years, these percentages have remained largely unchanged. Efforts continue to remind employers of the requirement to report by routinely distributing reporting forms during MIOSHA inspections. In addition, all new physicians receive information on the requirement to report when they apply for medical licensure in Michigan.

The 7,472 occupational disease reports received this past year under-represent the actual incidence of occupational diseases in Michigan. Based on an MSU study matching multiple data bases in Michigan for the years 1999-2001, one could estimate that the BLS survey missed 50% of the total number of occupational illnesses in Michigan<sup>2</sup>. For 2014, the most recent year available, the BLS annual survey reported 6,000 illnesses; by extension one would expect 12,000 illnesses in 2014 instead of the approximately 8,200 reported in that year. Even these types of estimates are an underestimate because it assumes that all physicians recognize work-related illness in their patients and that all employers are informed when work-related conditions are diagnosed. These assumptions often go unmet, given the limited training that healthcare providers receive in diagnosing work-related conditions, and that many individuals never inform their employer when they are diagnosed with a work-related condition.

The type of illness and industry where occupational diseases occur as reported by non-company-affiliated healthcare practitioners differs from company-based healthcare practitioners (Tables 1, 4 and 5). The differences vary depending on the specialties of the non-company-affiliated physicians who submit reports. For example, in 2015 the non-company-affiliated health care practitioners were more likely to report patients with respiratory disease who work in small, non-manufacturing companies. A large percentage of the

# DISCUSSION

year 2015 reports from non-company-affiliated health care practitioners were from physicians who are specialists in the radiographic interpretation of mineral and dust-related lung disease. However, regardless of the mix of non-company-affiliated specialists reporting, the data illustrates that relying on companyaffiliated reports alone would cause occupational illness statistics to markedly undercount certain workrelated conditions. Similarly, one cannot rely on Workers' Compensation data for a reliable count of workrelated conditions. In a study covering the years 1992-1994, only 9.6% of the workers for whom an Occupational Disease Report was submitted had definitely filed a WC claim, although an additional 36% may have filed a claim for a total of 45.6%3. In that study, limits of the data did not allow for a more precise estimate of the claims filed, but the range underscores the point that a large number of workers do not file WC claims even though they are seen by a physician for their illness. This is an ongoing issue, as review of hospital discharge data for individuals with pneumoconioses shows only <1% - 8% paid by WC (2014 Annual Report: Tracking Silicosis and Other Work-Related Lung Diseases in Michigan, available at: www.oem.msu.edu).

Review of Table 8 shows a large difference in the distribution of occupational illnesses identified through the state's OD reporting system, compared to both the BLS Annual Survey of Employers and the state's WCA claims system. For example, poisoning represents approximately 28% (1,826) of the OD reports, while that category of diseases only accounts for approximately 2% (200 cases) of the BLS survey and <1% (5 cases) of WCA claims. Non-employer sources such as from the Poison Control Center, "B" Readers and laboratories provide additional occupational diseases not being reported by employers or practitioners.

Although it has been reassuring to see the drop in hospitalizations related to work (Figures 4 and 5), the new analyses that are summarized in this report show that the drop is due to a decrease in minor but not severe injuries (Figure 6). Increased effort to reduce these severe injuries may be assisted by the new requirements that were implemented in Michigan on 9-1-2015 for employers to report acute work-related hospitalizations (http://www.michigan.gov/lara/0,4601,7 -154-10573\_11472-370952-,00.html). In addition to tracking the overall incidence of occupational disease, a more comprehensive system allows us to identify areas of concern in our state, monitor trends, develop interventions designed to prevent additional occupational disease, and subsequently evaluate the effectiveness of these efforts.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Public Health Services and Health Care Financing Administration. *International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification.* Washington: Public Health Service, 1980.
- 2. Rosenman KD, Kalush A, Reilly MJ, Gardiner JC, Reeves M, Luo Z. How Much Work-Related Injury and Illness is Missed by the Current National Surveillance System? J Occup Environ Med 2006; 48:357-365.
- 3. Biddle J, Roberts K, Rosenman KD, Welch EM. What Percentage of Workers With Work-Related Illnesses Receive Workers' Compensation Benefits? J Occup Environ Med 1998; 40:325-331.

