

Early Predictors of Hearing Loss

The goal of conducting annual audiometric testing for workers exposed to noise is to identify hearing loss at an early stage. At the first sign of hearing loss, interventions to reduce further exposure to noise can be implemented; the goal is to reduce the further development of more severe hearing loss. Possible work place interventions can include:

- Engineering changes to reduce or eliminate noise
- Hearing protective devices
 Providing to employees
 Assurance of use
 Education on proper use
 Provision of hearing protection with a
 higher noise reduction rating (NRR)
- Administrative shifts to less noisy jobs

The current OSHA rule for recording a workrelated hearing loss is: an average of a 10db or greater loss at 2000, 3000, and 4,000 hertz in either ear from the baseline exam along with a hearing threshold level of 25db or worse averaged over 2000, 3000 and 4000 hertz. Although only a recording requirement, this degree of hearing loss has also become the level at which many companies institute intervention with the affected worker.

A recent article examined changes in hearing loss that are predictive of an OSHA "recordable" hearing loss (1). Using earlier shifts in hearing to initiate intervention would potentially reduce the number of individuals who develop OSHA "recordable" hearing loss as well as even more severe hearing loss. The authors used data from 11 Alcoa locations. The study included workers with at least three audiometric tests since 1982, and no gap between tests longer than two years. The cohort was comprised of 33,273 individuals. The median follow up for each individual was six years.

Table 1 shows the 12 Early Indicator flags ex-

Table 1. Candidate "Shift Criteria" of Occupational Noise-Induced Hearing Loss

Shift Criteria

- 1. Non-age-corrected STS (10 dB Shift in (2, 3, 4 kHz)
- 2. Non-age-corrected STS TWICE (10 dB Shift in (2, 3, 4 kHz twice)
- 3. AAO (10 dB Shift (0.5, 1, 2 kHz) or 15 dB in (3, 4, 6 kHz)
- 4. 1972 NIOSH Shift (10 dB Shift (0.5, 1, 2, 3 kHz) or 15 dB in (4, 6 kHz)
- 5. 15 dB Shift (15 dB Shift in 0.5-6 kHz)
- 6. 15 dB Shift Twice (15 dB Shift in 0.5-6 kHz twice)
- 7. 15 dB Shift Twice (1-4 kHz)
- 10 dB Avg 3-4 kHz (10dB Shift in average of 3 and 4 kHz)
- 9. 15 dB Shift 3, 4, 6 kHz (15 dB Shift in average of 3, 4, and 6 kHz)
- 10. Age-corrected 5 dB Shift (age-adjusted 5 dB Shift in 2, 3, 4 kHz)
- 11. Age-corrected 8 dB Shift (age-adjusted 8 dB Shift in 2, 3, 4 kHz)
- 12. Age-corrected 10 dB Shift (age-adjusted 10 dB Shifts in 2, 3, 4 kHz)

JOEM 2007; 49:1310-1316

amined by the authors to predict individuals who will develop OSHA "recordable" hearing loss. The shift criteria definitions for these flags generally were for 10dB and 15dB shifts in hearing from baseline, using different combinations of frequencies. Other shifts examined included 5dB and 8dB shifts in two different periodic tests.

Table 2 summarizes the likelihood of developing an OSHA "recordable" hearing loss using the 12 shift criteria definitions. The table shows the development of OSHA "recordable" hearing loss at 5 and 10 years after the occurrence of an Early Indicator Flag. The authors found that the best predictors for OSHA "recordable" hearing loss were using the ageadjusted averages for 8db and 10db shifts occurring twice in different years at 2000, 3000 and 4000 hertz. These two definitions predicted 73.3% and 86.6% of hearing loss, respectively, for workers 10 years after their baseline hearing tests. The third best predictor at 10 years was using the 10 db shift at 2000, 3000, and 4000 hertz occurring twice in different years without age adjustment, with 66.5% of hearing loss predicted.

Initiating intervention when one of these "early flags" occurred would potentially reduce progression of hearing loss from becoming an OSHA "recordable" event or an even more severe case of work-related noise-induced hearing loss.

	Indicator (EI) (193 OSH	A Record	lable Shif	its, n = 3	273)	% Developing ORHL Year After Early Indicator		
	Early Indicator Flags (EI)	No. Flagged	No. With ORHL Flagged	Median Time to El (yrs)	Median Time to ORHL (yrs)	5	10	
1.	10 dB STS (10 dB shift in average of 2, 3, 4 kHz)	943	193	3.9	1.7	21.3	40.9	
2.	10 dB STS Twice (10 dB shift in average of 2, 3, 4 kHz)	568	193	5.3	1.1	39.9	66.5	
3.	AAO (10 dB Shift (0.5. 1, 2 kHz) or 15 dB in (3, 4, 6 kHz)	959	185	4.3	1.1	20.8	32.7	
4.	1972 NIOSH Shift (10 dB shift (0.5, 1, 2, 3 kHz) or 15 dB in (4, 6 kHz)	2779	193	1.6	4.0	5.9	13.5	
5.	15 dB Shift (15 dB shift in 0.5-6 kHz)	2234	192	2.3	3.3	8.3	16.7	
6.	15 dB Shift twice (15 dB shift in 0.5-6 kHz twice)	1229	193	3.1	2.3	15.4	28.4	
7.	15 dB Shift twice (1-4 kHz)	892	193	4.0	2.0	22.9	38.3	
8.	10 dB Average 3-4 kHz (10 dB shift in average of 3 and 4 kHz)	1257	190	3.0	2.6	14.8	27.7	
9.	15 dB Shift 3,4, 6 kHz (15 dB shift in average of 3, 4 and 6 kHz)	590	168	5.6	0.4	32.2	45.2	
10	Age-adjusted 5 dB Shift twice (age-adjusted 5 dB shift in (2, 3, 4 kHz)	945	193	2.5	2.7	21.0	37.6	
11	Age-adjusted 8 dB Shift twice (age-adjusted 8 dB shift in (2, 3, 4 kHz)	457	193	3.8	1.1	49.4	73.3	
12	Age-adjusted 10 dB Shift twice (age-adjusted 10 dB shift in (2,3,4 kHz)	263	193	4.7	0.3	81.9	86.6	
		JOEM 2007; 49:1310-1316						

Motivating Individuals To Use Hearing Protection In the Winter/Spring 2006-2007 *Now Hear This* newsletter we presented research on the

Extended Parallel Processing Mode of Persuasion (EPPM) which we had used to evaluate two brochures we developed to encourage farmers and landscape workers to use hearing protection. The article has now been published in the Journal of Applied Communication Research (2). There are two steps to this health communication model:

- ✓ First, induce the threat of a hazard. Recipients must believe the threat is severe and that they are susceptible.
- Second, induce the individual's perception of the effectiveness of the recommended response. Recipients must believe there is an effective action they can take to guard against the threat.

The model predicts that if both the perceived threat and effectiveness is high then people will engage in danger control. The model then predicts that if the threat is high but the perceived effectiveness is not high then people will practice fear rather than danger controls. Surveys of farmers and landscapers pre and post reading of their respective brochure showed the brochure induced the right degree of threat and effectiveness and increased the intention of the readers to use hearing protection in their future work.

REFERENCES

- Rabinowitz PM, Galusha D, Ernst CD, Slade MD. Audiometric "Early Flags" for Occupational Hearing Loss. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine 2007; 49:1310-1316.
- (2) Smith SW, Rosenman K, Kotowski MR, Glazer E, McFeters C, Keesecker N, Law A. Using the EPPM to Create and Evaluate the Effectiveness of Brochures to Increase the Use of Hearing Protection in Farmers and Landscape Workers. Journal of Applied Communication Research 2008; 36:200-218.



National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (NIDCD)....

www.nidcd.nih.gov/health/hearing/noise.asp

Provides straight-forward information on the ear, hearing, pathways of sound, and causes and prevention of noise-induced hearing loss.

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH)...

www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/noise

Resources on noise and hearing loss prevention, including brochures, checklists and an interactive noise meter.

Advisory Board

Michigan Oto-Laryngological Society Jeffrey Weingarten, M.D. Central Michigan University Better Hearing Michael Stewart, Ph.D. University of Michigan Constance Spak, M.A., CCC-A Michigan State University Jerry Punch, Ph.D. Hearing Association -эзвизпь-hэээq2 пвзіdліМ Greg Flamme, PhD., Representative Wayne State University Patricia Brogan, Ph.D. Nurses' Association Inchigan Occupational Phyllis Berryman, RN

Suggestions and comments are welcome. (MIOSHA) and is available at no cost. Medicine with funding from the Michigan Occupational Safety & Health Administration Michigan State University-College of Human Vow Hear This is published quarterly by

East Lansing, MI 48824-1316 117 West Fee Hall WHO-URM 9781-858 (215)

Printed on recycled paper.

Project SENSOR Staff

(VHSOIW) noitorteinimbA dilboH & Viola2 Innoithquoo nngidoiM odt iA

Project SENSOR Specialist Byron Panasuk, I.H. John Peck, M.S., Director MTS Division Project SENSOR, Co-Director Douglas J. Kalinowski, Director MIOSHA

solicity of the second -viizrovinU otate nagidoiM tA

ABT Project SENSOR Coordinator Mary Jo Reilly, M.S. Project SENSOR, Co-Director Professor of Medicine Kenneth D. Rosenman, M.D.

Tracy Carey, Now Hear This..., Editor Project SENSOR Office Staff: Project SENSOR NIHL Coordinator-

Ruth VanderWaals

Patient Interviewers:

Amy Krizek

smailliW abnamA Shannon Rochl

at an average of 3000 & 4000 Hz. 6000 Hz; or a 15 dB or greater loss in either ear Hz; or 1000, 2000 & 3000 Hz; or 3000, 4000 & either ear at an average of: 500, 1000 & 2000 *Suggested definitions: a 25 dB or greater loss in *.ssol bəxif A

or more at the same three frequencies. OR

the employee's total hearing level is 25 dB

average of 2000, 3000 & 4000 Hz. And

A STS of 10 dB or more in either ear at an

A history of significant exposure to noise

Uccupational NIHL

Suggested Criteria for Reporting

Lansing, MI 48909-8149

P.O. Box 30649

noisivid **STM-AHSOIM**

ligM

J-800-446-7805

anonqalaT

217-432-3606

БАХ

ODREPORT@ht.msu.edu

E-Mail

npə.usm.mso.www

Internet

Reporting can be done by:

JHIN IsnoitsquooO Reporting of Known or Suspected

Michigan Law Requires the

Michigan State University **College of Human Medicine** 117 West Fee Hall East Lansing, MI 48824-1316 Phone (517) 353-1846

v11n2: Early Predictors of Hearing Loss

.ε

.2

.1

at work; AND

Address service requested.

In this issue:

Now Hear This...