

Position Statement of the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM) on Noise-Induced Hearing Loss

The organization that represents occupational physicians recently issued a position statement on noise-induced hearing loss. This statement was developed by the ACOEM Noise and Hearing Conservation Committee and peer-reviewed by ACOEM. Most of the statement is reprinted here. The full statement is available at their web-site: www.acoem.org/guidelines/article.asp?ID=53

Definition

Occupational noise-induced hearing loss, as opposed to occupational acoustic trauma, is hearing loss that develops slowly over a long period of time (several years) as the result of exposure to continuous or intermittent loud noise. Occupational acoustic trauma is a sudden change in hearing as a result of a single exposure to a sudden burst of sound, such as an explosive blast. The diagnosis of noise-induced hearing loss is made clinically by a medical professional and should include a study of the noise exposure history.

Characteristics

The principal characteristics of occupational noise-induced hearing loss are as follows:

- It is always sensorineural, affecting hair cells in the inner ear.
- Since most noise exposures are symmetric, the hearing loss is typically bilateral.
- Typically, the first sign of hearing loss due to noise exposure is a "notching" of the audiogram at 3000, 4000, or 6000 Hz, with recovery at 8000 Hertz (Hz). The exact location of the notch depends on multiple factors including the frequency of the damaging noise and the length of the ear canal. Therefore, in early noise-induced hearing loss, the average hearing thresholds at 500, 1000, and 2000 Hz are better than the average at 3000, 4000, and 6000, and the hearing level at 8000 Hz is usually better than the deepest part of the "notch." This "notching" is in contrast to age-related hearing loss, which also produces high frequency hearing loss, but in a down-sloping pattern without recovery at 8000 Hz.
- Noise exposure alone usually does not produce a loss greater than 75 decibels (dB) in high frequencies, and 40 dB in lower frequencies. However, individuals with superimposed age-related losses may have hearing threshold levels in excess of these values.
- The rate of hearing loss due to chronic noise exposure is greatest during the first 10-15 years of exposure, and decreases as the hearing threshold increases. This is in contrast to age-related loss, which accelerates over time.
- Most scientific evidence indicates that previously noise-exposed ears are not more sensitive to future noise exposure and that hearing loss due to noise does not progress (in excess of what would be expected from the addition of age-related threshold shifts) once the exposure to noise is discontinued.⁴

• In obtaining a history of noise exposure, the clinician should keep in mind that the risk of noise-induced hearing loss is considered to increase significantly with chronic exposures above 85 dBA for an 8-hour time-weighted average (TWA). In general, continuous noise exposure over the years is more damaging than interrupted exposure to noise which permits the ear to have a rest period. However, short exposures to very high levels of noise in occupations such as construction or firefighting may produce significant loss, 5,6 and measures to estimate the health effects of such intermittent noise are lacking. When the noise exposure history indicates the use of hearing protective devices, the clinician should also keep in mind that the real world attenuation provided by hearing protectors may vary widely between individuals.

Additional Considerations in the Evaluation of the Worker with Suspected Noise-induced Hearing Loss

Clinicians evaluating cases of possible noise-induced hearing loss should keep in mind the following clinical concerns:

- While noise-induced hearing loss is typically bilateral, asymmetric sources of noise such as sirens or gunshots can produce asymmetric loss. When evaluating cases of asymmetric loss, referral to rule out a retro-cochlear lesion is first warranted before attributing the loss to noise.
- Co-exposure to ototoxic agents such as solvents, heavy metals, and tobacco smoke may act in synergy with noise to cause hearing loss. However, the role of such cofactors as well as the role of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and neurodegenerative diseases remains poorly understood. Individual susceptibility to the auditory effects of noise varies widely, but the biological basis for this also remains unclear. 10
- Over a period of years of prolonged noise exposure, hearing loss due to noise expands to involve additional frequencies. This, together with the effects of aging, may reduce the prominence of the "notch." Therefore, in older individuals, the effects of noise may be difficult to distinguish from presbycusis without access to previous audiograms.
- Individuals with noise-induced hearing loss may experience significant morbidity due to hearing loss, concomitant tinnitus, and impaired speech discrimination. On the job, such hearing loss can impact worker communication and safety. Other conditions associated with hearing loss may be depression, social isolation, and increased risk of accidents. Workers with evidence of hearing loss require an individualized approach that takes into account the need to communicate safely and effectively, and the need for protection from additional damage due to noise.
- Since the loss of hearing due to noise is not reversible, early detection and intervention is critical to improving prevention of this condition. A 10 dB confirmed threshold shift from baseline in pure tone average at 2000, 3000, and 4000 Hz (OSHA standard threshold shift), while not necessarily resulting in significant impairment, is an important early indicator of permanent hearing loss. Therefore, individuals in hearing conservation programs who exhibit such 10 dB threshold shifts on serial audiometric testing should be carefully evaluated and counseled regarding avoidance of noise and correct use of personal hearing protection.
- Age correction of audiograms is a method of age standardization allowing comparisons of hearing loss rates between populations. Applying age correction to the surveillance audiograms of a noise-exposed population results in fewer confirmed 10 dB shifts being reported. Therefore, when applying age correction to the audiometric results of an individual who has experienced a threshold shift, the clinician should consider whether in that individual a preventable noise component of hearing loss is playing a role.

Research Priorities

In an effort to shed light on some of the gaps in the current knowledge, ACOEM proposes the establishment of a research agenda for noise-induced hearing loss, and recommends research be conducted in the following areas:

• the relationship between specific noise exposures and risk of hearing loss, including impact noise, fluctuating noise, and noise at different frequencies, in order to improve protective exposure

- guidelines for noise exposure;
- early indicators of hearing loss, including the use of emerging audiologic technology such as otoacoustic emissions;
- the role of cofactors in hearing loss, including solvents, metals, vibration, heat, and carbon monoxide;
- the biology of noise-induced hearing loss, including the role of antioxidant compounds in prevention and recovery and whether noise damage continues to progress after noise exposure stops;
- individual susceptibility to noise-induced hearing loss, including the molecular basis for such susceptibility;
- the relationship of noise-induced hearing loss to other medical conditions, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and neurodegenerative diseases including age-related hearing loss;
- the impact of noise-induced hearing loss on individuals and their families and the development of rehabilitation strategies to maximize function and minimize disability;
- the behavioral aspects of noise avoidance and protection, including the effectiveness of training programs for hearing loss prevention.

Evaluation of the Effectiveness of a Hearing Conservation Program

To date, there is no universally accepted method of evaluating the effectiveness of a hearing conservation program. Hearing conservation programs include aspects of administrative controls, engineering controls, audiometric surveillance, and training. Occupational physicians can actively participate with employers in improving all these aspects of hearing conservation programs through ongoing evaluation of program outcomes and processes.

References

¹ACOM Noise and Hearing Conservation Committee. Occupational noise-induced hearing loss. JOM. 1989;31(12):996.

²McBride DI, Williams S. Audiometric notch as a sign of noise induced hearing loss. *Occup Environ Med.* 2001;58(1):46-51.

³Coles RR, Lutman ME, Buffin JT. Guidelines on the diagnosis of noise-induced hearing loss for medicolegal purposes. *Clini Otolaryngology Allied Sci.* 2000;25(4):264-73.

⁴Rosenhall U, Pedersen K, Svanborg A. Presbycusis and noise-induced hearing loss. *Ear & Hearing*. 1990;11(4):257-63.

⁵Lusk SL, Kerr MJ, Kauffman SA. Use of hearing protection and perceptions of noise exposure and hearing loss among construction workers. *Amer Indust Hygiene Asso J.* 1998;59(7):466-70.

⁶Tubbs RL. Noise and hearing loss in firefighting. Occup Med. 1995;10(4):843-56.

⁷Berger EH, et al. Development of a new standard laboratory protocol for estimating the field attenuation of hearing protection devices. Part III. The validity of using subject-fit data. *J Acoustical Soc Amer*. 1998;103(2):665-72.

OSHA. 1910.95 CFR Occupational Noise Exposure: Hearing Conservation Amendment (Final Rule). Fed Reg. 1983;48:9738-9785.

⁹Morata TC. Assessing occupational hearing loss: beyond noise exposures. Scand Audiology. Supplementum. 1998;48:111-6.

¹⁰Ward WD. Endogenous factors related to susceptibility to damage from noise. *Occup Med.* 1995;10(3):561-75.

¹¹Consensus conference. Noise and hearing loss. JAMA. 1990;263(23):3185-90.

¹²Hetu R, Getty L, Quoc HT. Impact of occupational hearing loss on the lives of workers. *Occup Med.* 1995;10(3):495-512.

¹³Zwerling C, et al., Occupational injuries among older workers with visual, auditory, and other impairments. A validation study. *JOEM*. 1998;40(8):720-3.

Now Hear This...

Michigan State University College of Human Medicine 117 West Fee Hall East Lansing, MI 48824-1316 Phone (517) 353-1955

Address service requested.

In this issue:

The ACOEM position statement on Noise-Induced Hearing Loss

at an average of 3000 & 4000 Hz.

*.ssol bəxif A

at works; AND

6000 Hz; or a 15 dB or greater loss in either ear

Hz; or 1000, 2000 & 3000 Hz; or 3000, 4000 &

either ear at an average of: 500, 1000 & 2000

*Suggested definitions: a 25 dB or greater loss in

average of 2000, 3000 & 4000 Hz. OR

Occupational VIHL

Suggested Criteria for Reporting

Cansing, MI 48909-8149 P.O. Box 30649

MDCIS Div. of Occ. Health

IiRM

www.chm.msu.edu/oem

Web

ODREPORT@ht.msu.edu

E-Mail

508L-977-008-I

Telephone

217-432-3606

FAX

Reporting can be done by:

Occupational MHL

Reporting of Known or Suspected Michigan Law Requires the

A STS of 10 dB or more in either ear at an

A history of significant exposure to noise

At the Michigan Department

Project SENSOR Staff

of Consumer and Industry Services

Division Chief Secretary Debbie Wood Project SENSOR-MDCIS Liaison Regional Supervisor Bill Deliefde, M.P.H. Occupational Health Division John Peck, C.I.H., M.S., Chief Project SENSOR, Co-Director Bureau of Safety and Regulations Deputy Director Douglas J. Kalinowski, C.I.H., M.S.,

-Viterigan State University-

College of Human Medicine

Danielle Arnold Amy Krizek Patient Interviewers: Ruth VanderWaals Ттасу Сагеу Project SENSOR Office Staff: Now Hear This..., Editor Project SENSOR MIHL Coordinator Amy Sims, B.S. Project SENSOR Coordinator Mary Jo Reilly, M.S. Project SENSOR, Co-Director Professor of Medicine Kenneth D. Rosenman, M.D.

Jaime Hope

Diana Okuniewski

East Lansing, MI 48824-1316 117 West Fee Hall MSU-CHM 9481-858 (712)

at no cost. Suggestions and comments are wel-Consumer and Industry Services and is available

with funding from the Michigan Department of

gan State University-College of Human Medicine

Now Hear This is published quarterly by Michi-

Michigan Otolaryngology Society

Jeffrey Weingarten, M.D. Central Michigan University

Michael Stewart, Ph.D.

Hearing Association

Wayne Holland, Ph.D.

Patricia Brogan, Ph.D.

Phyllis Berryman, RN

Advisory Board

Nurses' Association

Wayne State University

Michigan Occupational

University of Michigan

Constance Spak, M.A., CCC-A

Michigan State University

Michigan Speech-Language-

Better Hearing

Jerry Punch, Ph.D.

Printed on recycled paper.