

Volume 7, No. 4

Winter 2004-2005

Hearing Loss: The Numbers Part II

In the Fall 2004 newsletter, we reported that 1.4 million Michigan residents reported having hearing loss and 420,000 Michigan residents reported telling or being told by a health care provider their hearing loss was work-related. Only 200,000 of the 1.4 million individuals with hearing loss reported using a hearing aid. Hearing loss was more common in men than women (23% vs. 15%), whites than blacks (20% vs. 8%), those with less education versus those with more education (didn't complete high school (23%) vs. college graduate (14%)), and those with less income versus those with more income (<20,000/year (22%) vs. >50,000 (15%)). These results were from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFS), an annual random telephone survey of adults conducted in Michigan and Nationwide, although the hearing loss questions were only asked in Michigan.

Individuals who reported hearing loss were asked when their hearing loss began. See Table I. Most hearing loss began in adulthood. Only 19% of hearing loss was reported to begin before the age of 20. There is active testing for hearing loss in children in school. More preventive activity is needed to address the majority of hearing loss that begins in adulthood.

Table I. Age Distribution of When Hearing Loss First Developed, 2003 Michigan BRFS			
Age	n	% ± 95% CI Limit	
0-9	54	8.9 ± 2.6	
10-19	50	9.9 ± 3.0	
20-29	75	14.2 ± 3.3	
30-39	80	13.9 ± 3.1	
40-49	106	16.6 ± 3.1	
50-59	108	14.0 ± 2.8	
60-69	91	10.9 ± 2.3	
70+	108	11.6 ± 2.3	

Certain conditions may increase the risk of hearing loss. There are conflicting results from studies on whether there is an interaction between noise and diabetes (1, 2). Data from the BRFS is shown in Table II.

Table II. Diabetes Among Those With and Without Hearing Loss By Self Reported Cause of Hearing Loss, Michigan 2003, BRFS (% ± 95% Confidence Limit)			
	Diabetes and	Diabetes and	
Age	Work-Related	Not Work-Related	No Hearing Loss
All Ages	17.4 ± 5.6	12.6 ± 3.2	6.6 ± 1.0
18-54	11.1 ± 7.2	8.7 ± 4.7	3.8 ± 0.9
<u>></u> 55	23.4 ± 8.2	15.5 ± 4.4	15.5 ± 2.7

Diabetes was increased in individuals with hearing loss compared to those without for both work and non-work-related hearing in the age group <55 but was increased in the 55+ age only among those with work-related hearing loss. This data would suggest that at least in those >55, diabetes was a risk factor for noise-induced hearing loss and was a risk factor for hearing loss from all causes for those under 55.

Table III shows prevalence of ever smoked cigarettes by hearing status.

Table III. Ever Smoked Cigarettes Among Those With and Without Hearing Loss By Self Reported Cause of Hearing Loss, Michigan 2003, BRFS			
	Ever Smoked a	nd Hearing Loss	Ever Smoked and
Education	Work-Related	Not Work-Related	No Hearing Loss
All	71.9	56.6	47.9
HS Grad or Less	80.8	58.3	61.5
Some College or More	62.2	54.9	40.5

The prevalence of ever smoked cigarettes is greater in those with work-related noise-induced hearing loss after controlling for education, which is associated with both the prevalence of smoking and the prevalence of exposure to noise at work. This is consistent with other studies that smoking is a risk factor for hearing loss (3-5).

The prevalence of high cholesterol was elevated in those with hearing loss at a younger age, but not for those with work-related hearing loss \geq 55 years of age (See Table IV).

Table IV. High Cholesterol Among Those With and Without Hearing Loss By Self Reported Cause of Hearing Loss, Michigan 2003, BRFS (% ± 95% Confidence Limit)			
	High Cholesterol	and Hearing Loss	High Cholesterol and
Age	Work-Related	Not Work-Related	No Hearing Loss
All Ages	50.2 ± 8.5	51.1 ± 5.5	34.8 ± 2.2
18-54	45.4 ± 14.1	35.9 ± 9.0	28.3 ± 2.7
<u>></u> 55	53.4 ± 10.1	61.9 ± 6.1	50.2 ± 3.7

This data would suggest that an effect from the interaction of atherosclerosis and noise is true in younger, but not older individuals. This difference by age has not been reported in the literature (6).

Finally, Table V shows the relationship between high blood pressure and hearing loss. Interpretation of this table is more complicated. Since all the data presented in the tables in this newsletter are cross-sectional one does not know which came first, the hearing loss or the risk factor. For high cholesterol, diabetes and cigarette smoking there is no suggestion that noise or hearing loss causes the risk factor. However, for high blood pressure there is an extensive literature that noise can cause high blood pressure (7).

Table V. High Blood Pressure Among Those With and Without Hearing Loss By Self Reported Causeof Hearing Loss, Michigan 2003, BRFS (% ± 95% Confidence Limit)			
	High Blood Pressu	re and Hearing Loss	High Blood Pressure and
Age	Work-Related	Not Work-Related	No Hearing Loss
All Ages	37.9 ± 7.6	42.6 ± 5.1	23.8 ± 1.8
18-54	22.9 ± 10.6	23.9 ± 7.6	15.2 ± 1.8
<u>></u> 55	52.3 ± 9.9	58.2 ± 5.9	50.9 ± 3.6

This data does not support an association that noise exposure causes high blood pressure. The data in the younger age group is more suggestive that like the other risk factors for atherosclerosis, high blood pressure increases the risk of hearing loss.

The presence of risk factors for atherosclerosis may explain why some individuals and not others develop hearing loss when exposed to similar levels of noise. Interference of cochlear blood supply by atherosclerosis may be the underlying mechanism for the association between diabetes, smoking, high cholesterol, and high blood pressure. For high cholesterol and high blood pressure the association was stronger in those under 55, suggesting the risk of these factors for hearing loss is greater when atherosclerosis occurs at a younger age. Whatever the importance of these risk factors, noise exposure remains the causal factor of primary concern.

References

- 1. Ishii EK, Talbott EO, Findlay RD, D'Antonio JA, and Kuller LH. Is NIDDM a Risk Factor for Noise-Induced Hearing Loss in an Occupationally Noise Exposed Cohort? The Science of the Total Environment 1992; 127:155-165.
- 2. Hodgson MJ, Talbott E, Helmkamp JC, and Kuller LH. Diabetes, Noise Exposure, and Hearing Loss. Journal of Occupational Medicine 1987; 29:576-579.
- 3. Cruickshanks KJ, Klein R, Klein BEK, Wiley TL, Nondahl DM, and Tweed TS. Cigarette Smoking and Hearing Loss. The Epidemiology of Hearing Loss Study. JAMA 1998, 279:1715-1719.
- 4. Virokannas H, and Anttonen H. Dose-Response Relationship between Smoking and Impairment of Hearing Acuity in Workers Exposed to Noise. Scand Audiol 1995; 24:211-216.
- 5. Nakanishi N, Okamoto M, Nakamura K, Suzuki K, and Tatara, K. Cigarette Smoking and Risk for Hearing Impairment: A Longitudinal Study in Japanese Male Office Workers. JOEM 2000; 42:1045-1049.
- 6. Campbell KCM, Rybak LP, and Khardori R. Sensorineural Hearing Loss and Dyslipidemia. American Journal of Audiology1996; 5:11-14.
- 7. VanKempen EEMM, Kruize H, Boshuizen HC, Ameling CB, Staatsen BAM, de Hollander AEM. The Association Between Noise Exposure and Blood Pressure and Ischemic Heart Disease: A Meta-Analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives 2002; 110:307-317.

JHIN Innoitequo20 Reporting of Known or Suspected Michigan Law Requires the

Reporting can be done by:

P.O. Box 30649 noisivid STM-AHSOIM ligM S087-844-008-1 əuoqdələT 9098-284-718 ХVЯ ODREPORT@ht.msu.edu lisM-J wao/npa.usm.mda.www Internet

Suggested Criteria for Reporting Lansing, MI 48909-8149

JHIN Innoiteduo20

A history of significant exposure to noise

at work; AND

.1

.2

the employee's total hearing level is 25 dB average of 2000, 3000 & 4000 Hz. And A STS of 10 dB or more in either ear at an

*.ssol bəxit A or more at the same three frequencies. OR

at an average of 3000 & 4000 Hz. 6000 Hz; or a 15 dB or greater loss in either ear Hz; or 1000, 2000 & 3000 Hz; or 3000, 4000 & either ear at an average of: 500, 1000 & 2000 *Suggested definitions: a 25 dB or greater loss in .ε

Printed on recycled paper.

Project SENSOR Staff

(AHROIM) noithratinimbA dthosH & vista? Innoinqueso nagideite sht th

John Peck, C.I.H., M.S., Chief Project SENSOR, Co-Director MI Occ Safety & Hlth Admin Director Douglas J. Kalinowski, C.I.H., M.S.,

Vanagement & Technical Svcs Div

suisibaM namuH to agallod -ViiensvinU state nagidsiM M

Project SENSOR Specialist

Byron Panasuk, I.H.

Ruth Vander Waals Ττας Carey Now Hear This..., Editor Project SENSOR NIHL Coordinator Amy Sims, B.S. Project SENSOR Coordinator Mary Jo Reilly, M.S. Project SENSOR, Co-Director Professor of Medicine Kenneth D. Rosenman, M.D.

Jennifer Bowden Diana Okuniewski Patient Interviewers: Project SENSOR Office Staff:

Noreen Hughes Lisa McElroy Francisco Terrazas Amy Krizek Leigh Anne Flore Eeka Prude

Advisory Board

Michigan Oto-Laryngological Society Jeffrey Weingarten, M.D. Central Michigan University Better Hearing Michael Stewart, Ph.D. University of Michigan Constance Spak, M.A., CCC-A Michigan State University Jerry Punch, Ph.D. Hearing Association Michigan Speech-Language-Kenneth R. Bouchard, Ph.D., CCC-A, FAAA Wayne State University Patricia Brogan, Ph.D. Nurses' Association Michigan Occupational Phyllis Berryman, RN

ments are welcome. is available at no cost. Suggestions and com-Safety & Health Administration (MIOOH) and with funding from the Michigan Occupational gan State University-College of Human Medicine Now Hear This is published quarterly by Michi-

East Lansing, MI 48824-1316 117 West Fee Hall WHO-USM 9781-858 (215)

Michigan State University **College of Human Medicine** 117 West Fee Hall East Lansing, MI 48824-1316 Phone (517) 353-1846

Address service requested.

Hearing Loss: The Numbers-Part II

In this issue:

Now Hear This...