Now Hear This...

Volume 8, No. 3

Fall 2005

Update on New Rules for Licensing Audiologists

To keep track of the status of the adoption of the new rules regarding licensing of audiologists, check the following web site:

http://www.state.mi.us/orr/emi/rules.asp?type=dept&id=CH

A public hearing on the proposed rules was held on September 26, 2005. A copy of the proposed rules and the regulatory impact statement can be found at this web site.

Hearing Loss in Construction Workers

Construction work is noisy. Tables 1 and 2 show noise levels for a variety of equipment and tools used in the construction industry. It is estimated that there are at least 25,000 construction workers in Michigan exposed to significant levels of noise of at least 85 dBA average per day. Table 3 shows the results from two studies that document the amount of hearing loss in construction workers (Hessel, 2000). Earlier studies have reported 100% hearing loss in construction workers by the time they are 50-60 year olds (Kenney and Ayer

Table 1. Construction Equipment Noise Levels			Table 2. Construction Tools Noise Levels		
Pneumatic chipping hammer	103-113	dBA	Hammer drill	97-110	dBA
Jack hammer	102-111	dBA	Impact wrench	102	dBA
Concrete joint cutter	99-102	dBA	Circular saw	88-99	dBA
Stud welder	101	dBA	Grinder	84-97	dBA
Bulldozer	93-96	dBA	Belt sander	95	dBA
Earth tamper	90-96	dBA	Miter saw	92-95	dBA
Crane	90-96	dBA	Reciprocating saw	89-95	dBA
Asphalt road roller and spreader	91-95	dBA	Jig saw	88-92	dBA
Vibrating road rollers and wheel roaders	91-95	dBA	Electric drill	83-89	dBA
Grader	94	dBA	Electric screw driver	84-88	dBA

Table 3. Hearing Loss Among ConstructionWorkers in Edmonton, Canada and in Germany*				
	% With			
	Hearing Loss			
Edmonton				
Electricians	19.0			
Plumbers and pipefitters	37.8			
Boilermakers	50.5			
Telephone workers	13.0			
Germany				
Plumbers	46.3			
Carpenters	62.6			
Painters/varnishers	39.8			
Plasterers	45.3			
Unskilled	60.7			
Bricklayers	53.4			
White-collar workers	33.9			
*Sum of thresholds at 2000 Hz, 3000 Hz	, and 4000 Hz			

greater than 105 dB in at least one ear.

1975).

OSHA adopted a hearing conservation standard for general industry in 1983. At that time OSHA announced they would develop a separate but similar program for construction. Twenty-two years later, OSHA still has not developed a comprehensive standard for hearing protection for construction workers. protection standard The hearing for construction has an exposure level and a note that employers need a hearing conservation program. This is in stark contrast to the hearing conservation program requirements for the manufacturing industry which have specific requirements that the employer conduct noise monitoring, provide audiometric testing, notify employees of overexposure to noise, train employees about the dangers of noise and keep records on how much hearing loss is occurring. The standard also includes detailed requirements for hearing protection devices and has an 85 dBA action level so that workers can get base line testing and be included in a

hearing conservation program earlier than the allowable noise level of 90 dBA.

The lack of specific requirements in the construction hearing standard is illustrated in Table 4. Unlike manufacturing where a high percentage of workers now are provided audiometric testing in comparison to the 1970's was prior 1980's. which and to the comprehensive OSHA noise standard for general industry, there has been no increase in testing provided to construction workers. Because OSHA lacks a comprehensive noise standard for construction, OSHA is hampered in assuring that construction employers institute an adequate hearing conservation program. Employers in construction are required to conduct noise monitoring, provide hearing protection, provide training and when feasible implement engineering/administration. This only occurs if noise levels are greater than 90 dBA not 85 dBA as in general industry. Employers in construction are not required to provide audiometric testing, notify employees of over-exposure, or keep records of how much hearing loss is occurring.

No changes in the OSHA standard for construction workers are likely in the near future. Individual audiologists can advise their patients on hearing protection and provide audiometric testing. The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health has developed a web-based informational data base to look up the noise levels associated with different tools. The data base is by the type of tool and the manufacturer. One can see by the range for some of the tools (e.g., grinder) that some manufacturers make appreciably quieter tools than others (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/ noise/workplacesolutions/toolsdatabase.html).

As always we remain interested in receiving your occupational disease reports. Reports of hearing loss in construction workers help to document the need for improvements in the OSHA hearing protection standard for the construction industry.

Table 4. All Interviewed Individuals with Hearing Loss: Decade Last Worked and Status of Regular Hearing Testing (RHT) at Most Recent Company Exposed to Noise, by Industry Type: Michigan								
		Decade La	ast Expo	osed to Nois	se and H	learing Test	ting Statu	IS
	1970s		1980s		1990s		2000s	
	No. of Pts.	% Have RHT						
Construction	3	0	10	17	16	0	39	7
Manufacturing	24	18	77	32	115	74	1173	91

References

Hessel PA. Hearing loss among construction workers in Edmonton, Alberta Canada. J Occup Environ Med 2000;42:57-63.

Kenney GD, Ayer HE. Noise exposure and hearing levels of workers in the sheet metal construction trade. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J 1975; 28:626-632.

·····	*****				
Now Available New Annual Report on	We also publish reports on other work conditions:				
Work-Related Noise-Induced Hearing Loss in Michigan (2004) Download a copy at: www.oem.msu.edu (Annual Report link) -or-	Acute Traumatic Fatalities in Michigan (2003) Asthma Fatalities Ages 2-34 in Michigan (2003) Elevated Blood Lead Levels in Michigan (2004) Occupational Diseases in Michigan (2004) Occupational Pesticide Illness and Injury Surveillance in Michigan: June 2001—December 2003 Silicosis in Michigan (2004)				
© E-mail: ODREPORT@ht.msu.edu © Telephone: 1-800-446-7805	Work-Related Asthma in Michigan (2004)				
Sign up now to receive your copy of Now Hear This in Sign Up Now: your email inbox! To receive Now Hear This via email, email us today at Amy.Sims@ht.msu.edu and sign up for our newsletter email distribution list. Please include your full name, physical mailing address and telephone number so we can remove you from the printed mail list. You will be added to the distribution list and will receive our quarterly Now Hear This newsletter right in your email inbox!					
We have a newww.oe	ew web address: em.msu.edu				

JHIN Innoitequo20 Reporting of Known or Suspected Michigan Law Requires the

Reporting can be done by:

Lansing, MI 48909-8149 P.O. Box 30649 noisivid STM-AHSOIM ligM \$082-944-008-1 əuoqdələT 9098-284-718 БАХ ODREPORT@ht.msu.edu lisM-J npə.usm.mso.www Internet

JHIN Innoiteduo20 Suggested Criteria for Reporting

.2

.1

A history of significant exposure to noise

- or more at the same three frequencies. OR the employee's total hearing level is 25 dB average of 2000, 3000 & 4000 Hz. And A STS of 10 dB or more in either ear at an at work; AND
- *Suggested definitions: a 25 dB or greater loss in *.ssol bəxit A .ε

at an average of 3000 & 4000 Hz. 6000 Hz; or a 15 dB or greater loss in either ear Hz; or 1000, 2000 & 3000 Hz; or 3000, 4000 & either ear at an average of: 500, 1000 & 2000

Printed on recycled paper.

In this issue: Hearing Loss in Construction Workers

Michigan State University **College of Human Medicine** 117 West Fee Hall East Lansing, MI 48824-1316 Phone (517) 353-1846

Address service requested.

Now Hear This...

Project SENSOR Staff

(AHROIM) noithratinimbA dthosH & Vista? Innoitaquoo nagidoiM shi th

Byron Panasuk, I.H. Vanagement & Technical Svcs Div John Peck, M.S., Chief Project SENSOR, Co-Director MI Occ Safety & Hlth Admin Director Douglas J. Kalinowski, C.I.H., M.S.,

suisibaM namuH to agallod -ViiensvinU state nagidsiM M

Project SENSOR Specialist

Carlina Menjivar Tinda Assaf Ruth VanderWaals Ττας Carey Project SENSOR Office Staff: Now Hear This..., Editor Project SENSOR NIHL Coordinator Amy Sims, B.S. Project SENSOR Coordinator Mary Jo Reilly, M.S. Project SENSOR, Co-Director Professor of Medicine Kenneth D. Rosenman, M.D.

Francisco Terrazas Charles Carter

Lisa McElroy Amy Krizek Patient Interviewers:

Advisory Board

Michigan Oto-Laryngological Society Jeffrey Weingarten, M.D. Central Michigan University Better Hearing Michael Stewart, Ph.D. University of Michigan Constance Spak, M.A., CCC-A Michigan State University Jerry Punch, Ph.D. Hearing Association Michigan Speech-Language-Lizbeth Stevens, President Wayne State University Patricia Brogan, Ph.D. Nurses' Association Inchigan Occupational Phyllis Berryman, RN

Suggestions and comments are welcome. (MIOSHA) and is available at no cost. Medicine with funding from the Michigan Occupational Safety & Health Administration Michigan State University-College of Human Vow Hear This is published quarterly by

East Lansing, MI 48824-1316 117 West Fee Hall WHO-USM 9781-858 (215)